Analysis of cardiac autonomic modulation in normotensive obese and eutrophic adults of Nepal
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ABSTRACT

Background: Obese people have a higher prevalence of cardiovascular disease, though unknown mechanism, supposed to be due to autonomic dysfunction which is still in controversy. This study aimed to assess and compare heart rate variability (HRV) between normotensive obese and adults.

Methods: The study was conducted on 30 normotensive obese adults (mean age 32.07±7.25 years) with BMI>30 and 29 age- and sex-matched normal weight controls (mean age 30.48±8.01 years) with BMI: 18-24 Kg/m². Short-term HRV variables were assessed using standard protocol. The data were compared between the groups using Mann Whitney ‘U’ test.

Results: In obese group, there was significant increase in the mean heart rate [79.17±8.80 Vs 71.48±8.41 beats/min, p=0.001], systolic blood pressure [121.20±9.89 Vs 113.24±11.07, mmHg, p=0.004] and diastolic blood pressure [84.97±7.87 Vs 74.83±10.31 mmHg, p=0.000]. The HRV parasympathetic indicators were less [RMSSD 28.75(16.72-38.35) Vs 41.55(30.6-56.75) ms, p=0.018], NN50 [15.5(2-39) Vs 83.5(32.75-116.25), p=0.010], and sympathetic indicator LF/HF ratio [1.2(0.65-2.20) Vs 0.79(0.5-1.02), p=0.004] was more in obese group.

Conclusions: Obese persons have increased sympathetic activity with a reduction in parasympathetic (vagal) tone indicating poor autonomic cardiac rhythm control. Moreover, the altered autonomic activity could be the reason for increased mean heart rate and blood pressures in normotensive obese persons.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity has several lethal consequences on cardiovascular and other systems leading to arterial hypertension, atherosclerosis, dyslipidemia, diabetes, obstructive sleep apnea, depression, and reduction in quality of life.¹⁻² Studies have indicated an increase in all cause of mortality with increased body mass index (BMI), especially death from cardiovascular disease in men, though it is not uncommon in female.³⁻⁴ Thus, obesity is leading directly or indirectly to increased morbidity and mortality.

The risk of diseases appears to increase as a function of the percent fat content in the body, above an upper limit of normal. The surrogate measures such as the Quetelet index (Body mass index – measured as weight in kg/height in metres²) are used for grading the obesity in
daily practice. This study uses the criteria suggested by WHO guidelines that BMI ≥25/30 is classified as overweight/obese. Despite the relatively consistent findings of increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease in obesity, the reason for these associations remains obscure. Many factors have been suggested as causes for this relationship, such as insulin resistance, hypertension, and reduced high-density lipoprotein. On the other hand, it has also been suggested that a reduction in autonomic function might be the mechanism for the increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease in obesity. The studies concentrated on the autonomic activity of the heart itself reported controversial findings. Heart rate variability (HRV) measures the effect of autonomic function on the heart alone. Decreased HRV significantly increases cardiovascular mortality. Therefore, it could be the most useful method to investigate the effect of obesity on cardiovascular health. It is important to emphasize the effect of obesity without hypertension on HRV. Therefore, this study was aimed to assess and compare Heart Rate Variability (HRV) between normotensive obese and eutrophic adults.

METHODS

This cross-sectional comparative study was conducted in the Neurophysiology laboratory in the Department of Physiology, B. P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences (BPKIHS), Nepal. Thirty normotensive obese individuals (mean age 32.07±7.25 years) and 29 age- and sex-matched normal weight controls (mean age 30.48±8.01 years) were recruited from the medical staffs, students and the attendant of the patients at BPKIHS. The mean height of the obese patients was 1.60 ± 0.99 m and that of the controls was 1.66 ± 0.10 m. Mean BMI were 32.02 ± 2.89 and 21.87 ± 2.40 kg/m², respectively. As per WHO directives, obese was defined as a BMI of over 30, and normal weight was defined as a BMI of less than 25, i.e., between 18 and 24 (kg/m²). To be included, subjects were required to be between 18 and 75 years old and they also had to meet the BMI criteria noted above. Informed written consent was taken from all the subjects and they were screened for any history of drugs/alcohol intake, the familial history of hypertension and cardiac diseases, or medical illness likely to affect the heart rate variability parameters based on clinical history and physical examinations. Hypertensive persons were excluded from the study based on diagnostic criteria of Joint national committee (JNC) 7 on the prevention, detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood pressure. The choice of the patients was very selective, to attribute a potential pathogenetic value to a metabolic alteration typical of the obese patients, represented by normal blood pressure level. The study was approved by the institutional ethical committee of BPKIHS, Nepal.

Room temperature of the laboratory was maintained at the thermo neutral zone i.e. 26±2 °C. All the required set up was checked before commencing the test. Further, subjects were made comfortable and familiar with the laboratory set up and conditions, and were advised to relax completely during recording. The subjects were instructed not to perform any exercise 40 h before the day of the experiment and to avoid drugs and caffeine 12 h before the test. The blood pressure was measured in supine position in each subject. Analysis of heart rate variability (HRV) was performed based on 5 minute ECG recorded at rest in the supine position. Recordings were taken during 08:00 am to 11:00 am to avoid any hemodynamic effect on HRV.

ECG recording and HRV analysis

For HRV, ECG at spontaneous respiration was recorded for 5 min in supine position after 15 min of supine rest. Blood pressure was recorded before taking ECG recording. ECG electrolyte gel was applied on skin underneath the standard limb leads after cleaning the skin with methyl alcohol to decrease the skin impedance so that electrical signal from the body is conducted easily to the electrodes. The subjects were instructed to take supine rest with normal breathing, relax and close their eyes but not to fall asleep to obtain steady state haemodynamics before commencement of the recording and during recording to avoid the problem of non-stationarity of the ECG signals. The ECG was taken from one of the standard limb leads having most prominent R wave. The ECG electrodes were joined to isolated ECG amplifier/ coupler of Coulibourn Instrument and its software (Windaq pro/pro+ model no. DI-400 series, USA) to capture ECG signals for HRV. The sampling frequency of the ECG was set at 2000Hz. The recordings were edited and corrected manually for ectopic beats, arrhythmias, noise and trends from the Windaq Pro/Pro+ software before any calculation of HRV. After that ‘R’ wave detection of normal QRS complex was completed by using Windaq Pro/Pro+ software and R wave detected ECG was edited manually to ensure all R waves. Thereafter, QRS complex occurrence times were estimated using the same software and the file was saved as lotus file, which was readable by MS Excel. The cumulative values of R-R intervals were converted into individual R-R interval series in MS excel from the Lotus file. Thus, the intervals between successive normal-to-normal QRS complexes (RR intervals resulting from sino-atrial node driven rhythm) or instantaneous heart rate values for each cardiac cycle were determined. Rechecking and editing, if required, were done manually. Thereafter, RR intervals were saved in ASCII format. It was readable to “Kubios HRV analysis software version 2.0” which was used to calculate time domain and frequency domain measures of HRV. This software has been developed by the biomedical signal analysis group, Department of Applied physics, Ohio of Kuopio, Finland.

The HRV variables analyzed were: the time domain measures included standard deviation of normal RR interval (SDNN, ms), root mean square of differences of successive RR intervals (RMSSD, ms ), NN50 and pNN50 percentage were calculated; and the frequency
domain measures with low frequency power (LF $m^2$, 0.04-0.15 Hz), high frequency power (HF $m^2$, 0.15-0.4 Hz), low frequency in normalized unit (LFnu), high frequency in normalized unit (HFnu), and LF/HF - ratio of absolute LF power to HF power.18

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted with SPPS software (v 16.02, Chicago, IL, USA). Differences in variables between the groups were tested using Mann-Whitney U test. The data are expressed as median (interquartile range). A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

Among the studied variables between the groups weight, body mass index, mean heart rate, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure were found to be significantly (p<0.05) more in normotensive obese groups shown in Table 1.

### Table 1: Comparison of anthropometric and cardiorespiratory variables between normotensive obese (n=30) and eutrophic (n=29) groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Obese Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Normal weight Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, yrs</td>
<td>32.07±7.25</td>
<td>30.48±8.012</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, m</td>
<td>1.60±0.099</td>
<td>1.66±0.10</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>82.93±11.14</td>
<td>60.69±9.43</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>30.02±2.89</td>
<td>21.87±2.40</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate, cycles/min</td>
<td>16.60±2.76</td>
<td>16.41±2.87</td>
<td>0.801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean heart rate, beats/min</td>
<td>79.17±8.80</td>
<td>71.48±8.41</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>121.20±9.89</td>
<td>113.24±11.07</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>84.97±7.87</td>
<td>74.83±10.31</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Comparison of heart rate variability parameters in time domain between normotensive obese (n=30) and eutrophic controls (n=29).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Obese Median (Q1-Q3)</th>
<th>Normal weight Median (Q1-Q3)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDNN (ms)</td>
<td>35.55(26.77-49.25)</td>
<td>46.15(37.22-58.57)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSSD (ms)</td>
<td>28.75(16.72-38.35)</td>
<td>41.55(30.6-56.75)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN50count</td>
<td>15.5(2-39)</td>
<td>83.5(32.75-116.25)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNN50%</td>
<td>6.4(0.5-17.33)</td>
<td>25.65(11.42-40.5)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SDNN - Standard deviation of normal RR interval; RMSSD - Root mean square of differences of successive RR intervals; NN50count – Number of RR intervals that differ by more than 50 milliseconds; pNN50% – Percentage of consecutive RR intervals that differ by more than 50 milliseconds.

**Table 3: Comparison of heart rate variability (HRV) parameters in frequency domain between normotensive obese (n=30) and eutrophic (n=29) groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Obese (n=30) Median (Q1-Q3)</th>
<th>Normal weight (n=29) Median (Q1-Q3)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LF power ($m^2$ / Hz)</td>
<td>248(110.75-465)</td>
<td>480(187-953)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF power ($m^2$ / Hz)</td>
<td>216(103.5-530)</td>
<td>640.5(300.75-1219.75)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF %</td>
<td>26.15(21.6-36.55)</td>
<td>27.85(18.62-36.92)</td>
<td>0.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF %</td>
<td>23(12.15-45.28)</td>
<td>34.3(23.57-45.25)</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFnu</td>
<td>54.5(39.65)</td>
<td>44.1(33.75-54.82)</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFnu</td>
<td>45.5(31.3-60.35)</td>
<td>55.9(45.17-66.25)</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF/HF</td>
<td>1.2(0.65-2.20)</td>
<td>0.79(0.5-1.02)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LF power – Low frequency power; HF power - High frequency power; LF % - Low frequency power percent; HF % - High frequency power percent; LFnu - Low frequency normalized unit; HFnu - High frequency normalized unit; LF/HF – Ratio of low frequency to high frequency.
**Heart rate variability (HRV) measures**

Time domain variables of HRV: In the time domain measures, SDNN, RMSSD, NN50count and pNN50 percentage were significantly (p<0.05) less in obese group as compared to the normal weight group (Table 2, and Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4).

**Frequency domain variables of HRV**

Among the frequency domain variables, HF power (ms²) (HFms²) and LF/HF ratio were significantly (p<0.05) more in obese group than in normal weight controls. The other frequency domain variables were comparable between the groups (Table 3, and Figures 5 and 6).
DISCUSSION

In the present study the analysis of cardiac autonomic modulation in normotensive obese and eutrophic adults were examined. The resting mean heart rate was significantly more in normotensive obese group in comparison to normal weight group. Several studies support the finding of tachycardia in obese and the reason probably being the altered autonomic modulation in intrinsic heart rate. Increased heart rate is also imputed to relatively suppressed vagal tone.

This study confirmed that both SBP and DBP are significantly higher in normotensive obese persons which are supported by some epidemiological studies. It is estimated that as much as one-third of all hypertension may be attributable to obesity in populations where hypertension and obesity are widely prevalent.

In this study, all the parasympathetic markers of HRV (time domain measures: SDNN, RMSSD, NN50count, pNN50% and frequency domain measure: HF power) that estimate high frequency beat-beat variations in heart rate were lower in normotensive obese persons than in eutrophic adults. As expected the sympathetic marker of frequency domain; LF/HF ratio was increased in obese persons. This finding itself strongly supports the reason for increased heart rate in normotensive obese adults. Further, our findings are in accord with the earlier reports that obese persons suffer from an increased mortality risk supposedly due to cardiovascular disorders related to either continuously lowered parasympathetic or heightened sympathetic activation. A reduction in parasympathetic activity among obese children has also been reported in another investigation. Whereas, a reduction in sympathetic activity in obese is described by some other researchers which is in contrast to this study. Moreover, Luiz Carlos reported that the obese children exhibited modifications in heart rate variability, characterized by a reduction in both sympathetic and parasympathetic activity. However, the authors suggest that a reduction in autonomic activity may be an etiological factor in the onset and development of obesity.

This variation among the studies was partially explained on the basis of the duration of obesity. It is suggested that duration of the obesity has a major role to play in determining the level of cardiac sympathetic activity. Present study showed an increase in sympathetic activity, but if the obesity is of a longer duration, then it is likely to lead to overall reduction of the autonomic activity and hence a reduction in the sympathetic activity too. Another report of Peterson et al. suggesting an association between the increase in body fat and hypovagal activity of sympathetic and parasympathetic components of ANS which proposes a reduced sympathetic activity is related to lower energy expenditure and, consequently, to a positive energy balance and increase of body weight.

Further, Yakinci et al. performed autonomic function tests; sympathetic (orthostatic test) or parasympathetic (Valsalva ratio, 30/15 ratio and HR responses to deep breathing) on obese children and found normal sympathetic activity and parasympathetic hypo activity. Thus, the different findings in sympathetic activity of obese among studies are probably due to difference in age group or duration of obesity.

CONCLUSION

This study revealed the poor autonomic modulation control in obese persons which strongly argue in favour of the notion that the increased sympathetic activity with a reduction in parasympathetic activity affecting the cardiac mechanism acting on the sinus node. Further, it can be concluded that altered autonomic activity could be the reason for increased mean heart rate and blood pressures in normotensive obese persons. The interventional programs decreasing fat content of the individual can be advised to reduce the altered autonomic activity.
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