Research Article

DOI: 10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20150150

A study on assessment of scholastic dropout and its determinants in adolescents residing in Raipur city of Chhattisgarh state

Anmol Madhur Minz*, Kamlesh Jain, Gopal Prashad Soni, Abha Ekka

Department of Community Medicine, Pt. J.N.M. Medical College, Raipur, Chhattis garh, India

Received: 29 March 2015 **Revised:** 07 April 2015 **Accepted:** 07 May 2015

*Correspondence:

Dr. Anmol Madhur Minz,

E-mail: anmolminz390@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: In India, adolescents (10-19 years) constitute one fifth of the total population providing promising pillar for our nation's future. Being a sensitive and key transitional period of life adolescents living environment (Family, school, Peers etc.) can present new challenges for these adolescents and symptoms of dysfunction may occur. Schooling constitutes major part of adolescent's life, moulding them for bright future but problem like scholastic dropout deprive them & can play as major factor determining their future perspective with regard to personal and social achievements. With this background, the present study was conducted to assess the prevalence of Scholastic dropout problems in adolescents, and its determinants in Raipur city (C.G.) India

Methods: Cross-sectional community based study was done, using multi stage simple random sampling 32 study (urban & slum) areas was selected. 643 adolescents were interviewed with prior consent by house to house survey.

Results: Problem of scholastic dropout was 10.89%. Major determinants contributing were socioeconomic status, mother's education, family violence, poor academic performance, Substance abuse.

Conclusions: Scholastic dropout seems to be small yet a prevalent problem in our society inspite of many government initiatives and as found in the study problem is multipronged indicating adoption of a holistic approach to alleviate whole environment in which adolescents live in.

Keywords: Adolescent, Scholastic dropout, Psychosocial

INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is the period of physical and psychological development from the onset of puberty to maturity. It is also referred to as teenage years or youth. Adolescence is defined by WHO as the age group of 10-19 years. In India, adolescents (10-19 years) constitute 21.4 percent of the population, comprising one fifth of the total population. Adolescence is the transitional stage of development between childhood and adulthood, representing the period of time during which a person experiences a variety of biological changes and encounters a number of emotional issues. Adolescence

can be a specifically turbulent as well as a dynamic period of one's life. It has been identified as a period in which young people develop abstract thinking abilities, become more aware of their sexuality, develop a clearer sense of psychological identity and increase their independence from parents. G. Stanly Hall denoted this period as one of "Storm and Stress" and, according to him, conflict at this developmental stage is normal and not unusual. Adolescents are rich in memory, perceiving things, concept formation, association, generalization, imagination and decision making. Questioning on most of the things is prevalent but they become satisfied in approval and recognition of their own views.

Adolescence is a period when rapid physiological changes and demands for new social roles take place. The adolescents, due to these changes often face a number of crises and dilemmas. Emotional development is at peak and there is no emotional stability in general. It is the period when the child moves from dependency to autonomy. It is a period demanding significant adjustment to the physical and social changes which distinguish childhood behavior from adult behavior. It is a period of rapid physical and psychological transition which makes adolescents particularly vulnerable to emotional conflicts and behavioral disorders.² Adolescents are facing multitude of problems throughout the world. Adolescents suffer from psychosocial problems at one time or the other during their development. The major psycho social problems are substance abuse, internalizing disorders (depression, anxiety) and externalizing disorders (delinquency, aggression, Suicidal Behaviour, educational difficulties, dropping out, truancy). Many of these problems are of transient nature and are often not noticed. Further children may exhibit these problems in one setting and not in other (e.g. home, school). Several key transitional periods (moving from early elementary to middle school, moving from middle school to high school or moving from high school to college) can present new challenges for these adolescents and symptoms of dysfunction may occur.³ The School constitutes a large part of an adolescent's existence. School problems (Absenteeism, Poor academic performance, Dropout etc.) during the adolescent years may be the result of rebellion and a need for independence. Poor school performance predicts health-compromising behaviors and physical, mental and emotional problems.⁴ School is the place where adolescents get opportunity to share many personal issues with their peers. The conditions now prevailing in the educational institutions like mass schooling without any individual orientation oblige the teenager to submit to teaching methods and to the school system.⁵ School can reveal the subject's personal problems (anxiety, phobia or depression), but may equally create pathology by not recognizing the heterogeneity of individual development and differences in cognitive functioning. Thus school problem like dropout can be a major factor determining adolescent's future perspective with regard to personal and social achievements. With this background, the present study was conducted to assess the prevalence of Scholastic dropout problems in adolescents, and its determinants in Raipur city (C.G.) India.

METHODS

A cross-sectional community based study was conducted in the urban & slum area of Raipur city (C.G.) India from July 2013 to June 2014. Multi stage simple random sampling technique was used for data collection. The list of eight zone and 77 wards of Raipur city was obtained from the municipal corporation, Raipur (C.G.) Out of eight zone, four zones were selected by simple random

technique. Out of four zones, from each, four wards were selected by simple random technique. From each ward, one slum area and one urban area were included in the study using simple random technique. A total of 32 areas were included in this study. Sample size were calculated by using statistical formula, $n=Z^2pq/L^2$, z=1.96, n=Sample size, p=Adolescents prevalence 22.1 %, q=100-p, L=permissible level of error in the estimated prevalence, taken as 15 (15% of 22.1 = 3.2) the required sample size was calculated to be 631 adolescents. For making uniformity, 20 subjects from each of 32 areas were selected that comes 640. So a total 643 subjects were included in study. House to house survey was conducted.

Inclusion criteria: Age group (10 to 19 years), both male and female adolescents and willing to participate in study.

Exclusion criteria: All those who were not willing to participate in study.

Study criteria

Adolescents: The World Health Organization (WHO) defines an adolescent as any person between ages 10 and 19. This age range falls within WHO's definition of young people, which refers to individuals between ages 10 and 24.6

Early adolescence - (9-13 years of age) Middle adolescence - (14-16 years of age) Late adolescence - (17-19 years of age)

Dropout: Adolescent discontinued schooling in any class or age and still not joined/continued in any kind of educational institution.

Help of trained female medical professional was obtained to ensure better response and co-operation from the community. Briefing was made before survey to female medical professional. The information thus collected was recorded on predesigned and pretested Performa adopted from WHO 'HEEADSSS' approach widely used for psycho-social evaluation of adolescents. Data was compiled and processed and analysed in MS excel and SPSS software. Chi-square test was applied and P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant for interpretation of finding.

RESULTS

Study sample characteristics

Table 1: In present study, total 643 subjects were interviewed and examined. The demographic and clinical characteristic of the subject are shown in the majority (37.48%) of the participants belongs to late adolescence followed by early (32.81%) then (29.70%) of mid adolescence.

Table 1: Background characteristics of study population.

Background characteristics	Number	Percentage
Age (year)		
Early	211	32.81
Mid	191	29.70
Late	241	37.48
Sex		
Male	321	49.92
Female	322	50.08
Education		
Illiterate	4	0.62
Primary	93	14.49
Middle	210	32.71
High & High Secondary	288	44.86
Graduation	47	7.32
Socio-economic status		
Upper	99	15.40
Middle	174	27.06
Lower	370	57.55
Religion		
Hindu	598	93.01
Muslim	38	5.91
Christian	7	1.09
Place of residence		
Urban	323	50.23
Slum	320	49.77

Males and females were almost equally distributed in study 49.92% and 50.08% respectively. The majority of the subjects were in high and higher secondary (44.86%) followed by middle (32.71%), primary (14.49%) and illiterates (0.62%). Majority (57.55%) belong to lower SES and Hindu religion (93.01%). Participants were almost equally distributed from both urban (50.23%) and slum area (49.77%).

Prevalence of socio-demographic determinants effecting scholastic dropout among adolescents

Table 2: In this study Scholastic dropout was seen more in later ages i.e., late adolescents having (7.15%) dropout followed by mid (3.27%) and early adolescence (0.47%) association was found highly significant (P<0.0001) with male preponderance of (6.53%). Higher dropouts were seen in middle and high school levels (3.89%) & (3.73%) respectively, primary (2.18%) and at grade level (0.47%) only which was highly significant (P<0.0001). Lower socio economic status adolescents had more dropouts (8.40%) followed by middle S.E.S (2.49%) were as upper S.E.S didn't have any dropouts, association was highly significant (P<0.0001). Ratio of dropouts from those not was seen more in Hindus then Muslims also slight slum preponderance was seen than urban. Dropout rates was also seen higher among less educated mother and significant (P<0.0001). Decrease in dropouts was seen with increasing educational level.

Table 2: Prevalence of socio demographic determinants affecting scholastic dropout among adolescents.

Variables	School dropout		Chi square test	Odd Ratio (OR)
	Yes	No	df; P value	(CI = 95%)
According to phase of	adolescence		_	
Early	3 (0.47%)	208 (32.35%)	$\kappa^2 = 36.192$	0.11
Mid	21 (3.27%)	170 (26.44%)	$\kappa = 36.192$ - df=2; P<0.0001	1
Late	46 (7.15%)	195 (30.33%)	- u1=2, 1 < 0.0001	1.90
Sex				
Male	42 (6.53%)	279 (43.39%)		1.50
Female	28 (4.35%)	294 (45.72%)	df=1; P>0.05	1.58
Education				
Primary	15 (2.18%)	79 (12.29%)		2.08
Middle	26 (3.89%)	185 (28.77%)	$ \chi^2 = 17.851 $ df=2; P<0.0001	1.54
High	25 (3.73%)	264 (41.06%)		1.04
Graduation	4 (0.47%)	44 (6.84%)		1
Socio-economic status				
Upper	0 (0.00%)	99 (15.40%)	$ \kappa^2 = 17.851 $ df=2; P<0.0001	0
Middle	16 (2.49%)	158 (24.57%)		∞
Lower	54 (8.40%)	316 (49.14%)		∞
Religion				
Hindu	67 (11.20%)	531 (88.80%)	$ \kappa^2 = 1.268 $ df=2; P>0.05	∞
Muslim	3 (7.89%)	35 (92.11%)		∞
Christian	0 (0.00%)	7 (100.00%)		0
Place of residence				
Urban	33 (5.13%)	290 (45.10%)	$\kappa^2 = 0.285$ df=1; P>0.05	0.87
Slum	37 (5.75%)	283 (44.01%)		

Mothers education				
Illiterate	39 (6.07%)	161 (25.04%)	$\kappa^2 = 35.900$ df=4; P<0.0001	1.21
Primary to middle	22 (3.42%)	154 (23.95%)		0.71
High to high sec.	6 (0.93%)	139 (21.62%)		0.21
Graduate and higher	0 (0.00%)	104 (16.17%)		0
Deceased	3 (0.47%)	15 (2.33%)	_	1

Table 3: Prevalence of psycho-social determinants affecting scholastic dropout among adolescents.

Variables	School dropout		Chi square test	Odd Ratio (OR)
	Yes	No	df; P value	(CI = 95%)
Adolescent close to in				
Mother	27 (6.50%)	388 (93.49%)		0.19
Father	2 (5.71%)	33 (94.28%)		0.16
Siblings	5 (26.31%)	14 (73.68%)		1
Others/No one	36 (29.68%)	138 (79.31%)	_ ′	0.73
Any violence at home	,	,		
Yes	32 (16.84%)	158 (83.15%)	$ \chi^2 = 9.860 $	2.21
No	38 (8.38%)	415 (91.61%)	df=1; P<0.001	
Physical violence at yo	u	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `		
Yes	31(19.87%)	125 (80.12%)		2.04
No	39 (8.01%)	448 (91.99%)	df=1; P<0.0001	2.84
Run away from home	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Yes	26 (27.37%)	69 (72.63%)	2	1
Thought of it	15 (14.29%)	90 (85.71%)		0.44
No	29 (6.55%)	414 (93.45%)	df=2; P<0.0001	0.18
Is school safe place?				
Yes	37 (7.51%)	456 (92.49%)	$\varkappa^2 = 24.907$	
No	33 (22.00%)	117 (78.00%)	df=1; P<0.0001	0.29
Repeat classes				
Yes	60 (9.33%)	91 (14.15%)		31.78
No	10 (1.56%)	482 (74.96%)	df=1; P<0.0001	
Change in grades last	remember	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Increase	11 (1.71%)	241 (37.48%)	2 170 001	0.02
Decrease	25 (3.89%)	315 (48.99%)		0.03
Don't know	34 (5.29%)	17 (2.64%)	df=2; P<0.0001	1
Co education				
Yes	16 (2.49%)	454 (70.61%)		0.08
No	54 (8.40%)	119 (18.51%)	df=1; P<0.0001	
Getting along with frie	ends at school			
Good	29 (4.51%)	505 (78.53%)	$ \chi^2 = 96.651 $	0.1
Bad	41 (6.38%)	68 (10.58%)	df=1; P<0.0001	
Future plan				
Yes	23 (3.58%)	356 (55.37%)	$ \chi^2 = 22.086 $	0.29
No	47 (7.31%)	217 (33.75%)	df=1; P<0.0001	
Working				
Yes	11 (84.62%)	2 (15.38%)		53.22
No	59 (9.37%)	571 (90.63%)	df=1; P<0.0001	
Substance abuse				
Yes	30 (31.58%)	65 (68.42%)		5 06
No				5.86
110	40 (7.30%)	508 (92.70%)	df=1; P<0.0001	
Feel sad all the time	40 (7.30%)	508 (92.70%)	df=1; P<0.0001	
	40 (7.30%) 36 (19.46%)	508 (92.70%) 149 (80.54%)	df=1; P<0.0001 κ ² =19.677	3.01

Prevalence of psycho-social determinants effecting scholastic dropout among adolescents

Table 3: Ratio of Scholastic dropouts was seen higher among adolescents who were close to no one/others (29.68%) and close to their siblings (26.31%) which was statistically significant (P<0.0001) as compared to those adolescents close to their parents had less dropout rates. Adolescents having violence at home (16.84%) or physical violence directly against them (19.87%) were having more dropouts also adolescents who had ever ran away from home (27.37%) or thought of it (14.29%) had more dropout rates and association seen between them was highly significant (P<0.0001). Further on asking about school and performance adolescents not feeling safe at school (22%) or having Poor academic performance like have to repeat class (9.33%), decreased grades (3.89%) or did not know their last grades (5.29%) had more dropouts similarly adolescents in Co-ed (8.40%), not getting along with friends (6.31%), has no plans for future (7.31%) had higher dropouts, association found was highly significant (P<0.0001). Further ratio of adolescents involved in any kind of work producing (84.62%) versus not (15.38%) similarly adolescents doing any kind of substance abuse (31.58%), Felt sad most of the time (19.46%) had higher dropout percentages (P<0.0001).

DISCUSSION

According to the Bronfenbrenner's Theoretical model, 8 a youth's successful performance in key social environments such as school, will affect the development of later problems. 9-11 Therefore, this study examine the impact of a variety of variables in order to understand what factors needed to address so that effective preventive programs can be developed to arrest the dropout rate. Study concluded that dropping out of school seems to be a process that provides signs that something is going wrong in adolescent's attachment to school. Scholastic dropout was found to be (10.89%). Almost similar finding was seen in DISE 2013-1412 were National and state (C.G.) school dropout was found to (7.45%) & (8.16%) similar results were seen in study done by Joseph Gasper et al. 13 showing school dropout to be (11.28%) which was bit higher than the study done by Calderon et al.¹⁴ having (6.2%) dropout. A national annual average dropout rate of 9.1 was seen in 2009-2010 and 6.9 in 2010-2011. 15 Major socio demographic determinants associated with dropout were adolescents increasing age showing more dropouts in late and mid adolescence with male preponderance. Dropouts were seemingly high in middle and high school students than primary and graduates. Lower S.E.S adolescents were more prone to dropout with slight Slum preponderance. Mothers education had major effect as with increasing level of mother education dropout rate decreased considerably .Similar findings was seen in study done by Calderon et al.¹⁴ in which adolescents of late ages, in higher classes had more dropout rates. According to

Rumberger & Lim, 2008.¹⁶ Parental education and other family characteristics are correlated with the likelihood of dropping out of high school; for example, students whose parents are less educated or have lower incomes are more likely to drop out. A. Khokhar S. Garg et al. 17 had similar findings like economic constrains attributing lower S.E.S, lower education status of parents those adolescents had more dropout. As the empirical evidences showed in the studies of Samarrai and Peasgood 18 parent's education is a crucial factor for school dropout in this study too. Most of the parents of school dropout have never completed their secondary education and it's having correlation with their child's education also. Also in study done by Maithly and Saxena¹⁹ and Sharma R, Grover VL et al.²⁰ main reason being financial difficulties owing to Lower S.E.S and male gender. Same results was seen in study by R. Govinarajau, Venkatesan et al.²¹

Psycho social determinants significantly associated with dropouts were Problem family (like adolescent not getting along with family, having violence at home or physical violence on themselves, ran away from home), School problems (like not feeling safe in school, poor academic achievements, not getting along with friends at school), having no future plan, working to get paid, felt sad all the time and indulged in any kind of substance abuse. Similar findings was seen in study done by Maithly and Saxena 19 and Sharma R, Grover VL et al.²⁰ in which main reason being interpersonal violence, less number of close friends, any kind of addiction and residing in resettlement colonies, slums or villages. Also in study done by R. Govinarajau, Venkatesan et al.²¹ showed poor academic performance, going off to work were reasons majorly contributing to institutional dropouts. Munni & Malhi²² reported that the adolescents exposed to violence had poorer school performance. Mohan et al.²³ & Cox et al.²⁴ in their studies found that addiction had strong association with dropout rates among adolescents. Similar findings was seen in study done by Calderon et al.14 were dropout rate was seen higher in adolescents having poor academic performance, doing substance abuse of any kind also working adolescents showed higher dropouts according to Khokhar S. Garg et al. 17

CONCLUSIONS

Though dropout prevalence was found to be small (10.89%), still it continues to be prevalent and major challenge and as found in study, problem of dropout is multipronged needing a holistic approach to make suitable environment (Home, School, Peers, personal and intellectual) for adolescents which would in turn leverage the adolescents to continue education un-interrupted and will help reduce dropout rate considerably. Laws like Right to education and government programmes like Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan have helped to reduce this problem still strong financial policies are needed by the government to safeguard educational right of adolescents.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Dr. Nirmal Verma, Professor and Dr. Dhiraj Bhawnani, Assistant Professor, department of Community Medicine, Government Medical College, Rajnandgaon (C.G.) India, for their continued guidance and technical support. We would also like to thank the local health worker and intern and other staff, who contributed lot in study. Special thanks to ethical committee for approval of the study. A warm and sincere thanks to all participated adolescents who gave their valuable time with patience.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the

institutional ethics committee

REFERENCES

- Answers. Adolescence, 2014. Available at: http://www.answers.com/topic/adolescence. Accessed 22 October 2014.
- Wikipedia. Adolescence, 2014. Available at: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adolescence. Accessed 18 October 2014.
- 3. Ahmad A, Khalique N, Khan Z, Amir A. Prevalence of psychosocial among school going male adolescents. Indian J Community Med. 2007;32:219-21.
- 4. Crum RM, Ensminger ME, Ro MJ, McCord J. The association of educational achievement and school dropout with risk of alcoholism: a twenty-five-year prospective study of inner-city children. J Stud Alcohol. 1998;59(3):318-26.
- 5. Catheline N. Academic problems and school failure in adolescence. Rev Prat. 2005;55(10):1104-8.
- 6. WHO. Adolescent health, 2015. Available at: http://www.who.int/topics/adolescent_health/en/. Accessed 16 February 2015.
- American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP). Getting into adolescents head: an essential update, 2014. Available at: http://www2.aap.org/pubserv/psvpreview/pages/file s/headss.pdf. Accessed 08 January 2014.
- 8. Bronfenbrenner U. The ecology of human development. In: Bronfenbrenner U, eds. Experiments by Nature and Design. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1979.
- 9. Aldous J. Family, ethnicity, and immigrant youths' educational achievements. J Drug Issues. 2006;27:1633-67.
- 10. Roscigno VJ. Family/school inequity and African-American/Hispanic achievement. Soc Probl. 2000;47:266-90.

- Weisner C, Delucchi K, Matzger H, Schmidt L. The role of community services and informal support on five-year drinking trajectories of alcohol dependent and problem drinkers. J Stud Alcohol. 2003;64:862-73.
- District Information System for Education (DISE). National elementary education report card 2013-14, 2015. Available at: http://dise.in/Downloads/Elementary-STRC-2013-14/All-India.pdf. Accessed 24 February 2015
- 13. Joseph Gasper. Revisiting the relationship between adolescent Drug use and high school dropout. JDrug Issues. 2011;41(4):587-618.
- Calderón JM, Robles RR, Reyes JC, Matos TD, Negrón JL, Cruz MA. Predictors of school dropout among adolescents in Puerto Rico. P R Health Sci J. 2009 Dec;28(4):307-12.
- 15. Daily News and Analysis, India. RTE report card: Dropout rate in schools falls, 1 April 2012. Available at: http://www.dnaindia.com/india/report_rte-report-carddropout-rate-in-schools-falls_1669959.
- Rumberger RW, Lim S. Why students drop out of school. In: Rumberger RW, Lim S, eds. A Review of 25 Years of Research. Santa Barbara, CA: University of California Santa Barbara; 2008.
- 17. Khokhar A, Garg S, Bharti N. Determinants of reasons of school drop-outs amongst dwellers of an urban slum of Delhi. Indian J Community Med. 2005 Jul-Sep;30(3):92-3.
- 18. Al Samarrai S, Peasgood T. Educational attainments and household characteristics in Tanzania. Econom Educ Rev. 1998;17(4):395-417.
- 19. Maithly B, Saxena V. Adolescent's educational status and reasons for dropout from the school. Indian J Community Med. 2008;33(2):127-8.
- Sharma R, Grover VL, Chaturvedi S. Risk behaviors related to inter-personal violence among school and college-going adolescents in south Delhi. Indian J Community Med. 2008;33(2):85-8.
- 21. Govindaraju R, Venkatesan S. A study on school drop-outs in rural settings. J Psychology. 2010;1(1):47-53.
- 22. Munni R, Malhi P. Adolescent violence exposure, gender issues and impact. Indian Paediatr. 2006;43(7):607-12.
- 23. Mohan S, Sankara Sarma P, Thankappan KR. Access to pocket money and low educational performance predict tobacco use among adolescent boys in Kerala, India. Prev Med. 2005;41(2):685-92.
- 24. Cox RG, Zhang L, Johnson WD, Bender DR. Academic performance and substance use: findings from a state survey of public high school students. J School Health. 2007;77(3):109-15.

DOI: 10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20150150

Cite this article as: Minz AM, Jain K, Soni GP, Ekka A. A study on assessment of scholastic dropout and its determinants in adolescents residing in Raipur city of Chhattisgarh state. Int J Res Med Sci 2015;3:1372-7.