International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences
Shrivastava N et al. Int J Res Med Sci. 2025 Jun;13(6):2598-2606
www.msjonline.org
pISSN 2320-6071 | eISSN 2320-6012
DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20251432

Systematic Review

Advances in pediatric anesthesia: safety protocols and emerging practices

Neha Shrivastava[1]*, Ashutosh Kumar Verma[2], Kishori Saikia[3]

[1]Department of Anaesthesia, NSMCH Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India
[2]Department of Paediatric Haematology and Oncology, Mahavir Cancer Sansthan, Patna, Bihar, India
[3]Marengo Asia Hospital, Gurgaon, Haryana, India

Received: 20 April 2025
Revised: 03 May 2025
Accepted: 05 May 2025

***Correspondence:**
Dr. Neha Shrivastava,
E-mail: dr.nehashri.88@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Predictive modelling implementation with safety protocols in paediatric anaesthesia has contributed to major improvements in the safety measures and performance quality of child anaesthetic treatment. The review gathers and examines contemporary research between 2017 and 2022 to assess how predictive models can be implemented with safety protocols which improve anesthesia management effectiveness. Healthcare models developed using electronic medical records (EMRs) and real-time monitoring systems and specialized equipment enable medical staff to predict postoperative complications such as respiratory events and thrombotic complications along with blood loss. The advancement of machine learning (ML) technology together with artificial intelligence (AI) supports unique anesthesia treatment plans for risky high-risk pediatric surgeries. Different intervention approaches form the basis of the investigation to enhance care results and minimize medical complications through improved sedation depth monitoring and pain control and airway management practices. The deployment of these models meets continuous resistance to implement across different clinical settings. The study examines contemporary developments in paediatric anaesthesia while stressing the necessity to construct better predictive methods and the AI potential to enhance safety and treatment results for paediatric anaesthesia care.

Keywords: Pediatric anesthesia, Predictive modeling, Safety protocols, Machine learning, Postoperative complications

INTRODUCTION

Paediatric anaesthesia has developed substantially as the essential speciality for child patient anaesthetic care during the last decades. The special needs of paediatric patient physiology demand customised anaesthetic plans that work to improve care outcomes during medical procedures. Surgical procedures on paediatric patients which involve high-risk and critically ill patients continue to increase in complexity so the field has shifted toward predictive modelling and safety protocols. Modern innovations enable anaesthesiologists to foresee and manage potential threats which establishes secure and effective anaesthesia procedures for children.[1,2] The association between cyanosis, transfusion, and thrombotic complications in neonates and children undergoing cardiac surgery. The study highlights the impact of these factors on postoperative outcomes, providing valuable insights into improving anesthesia management and minimizing risks in pediatric cardiac surgery patients.[3] A retrospective analysis of 99 patients with mucopolysaccharidoses, examining disease manifestations and their impact on anesthesia-related complications. The study emphasizes the need for tailored anesthesia management strategies to address the unique challenges posed by this genetic disorder, improving patient safety during surgical procedures.[4] Innovative technologies, which include predictive algorithms used for anaesthesia depth assessment and opioid requirement predictions and sedation need determination, help improve both the

security and efficiency of paediatric anaesthetic treatments (Chiesa and Nafiu).[5-17]

The study investigates the brain activity patterns associated with anesthesia, providing insights into neurological effects of anesthetic agents and contributing to a better understanding of anaesthesia's impact on the developing brain.[6] Predictive modelling achieved a significant breakthrough in paediatric anaesthesiology during the previous developments. Research teams have created different predictive models which serve to evaluate risk indicators alongside prediction of dangerous outcomes and real-time optimisation of anaesthetic procedures. Research teams use predictive models to forecast postoperative complications that affect child patients undergoing surgery.[7]

Recent protocols for safety involve two core elements, including personalized medical strategies and databases that drive anaesthesia practices. The tested protocols focus on reducing four main negative effects: emergence agitation, blood loss, respiratory depression and tracheal tube placement errors which result in significant health consequences to paediatric patients (Galvez and Guevara).[8,9]

A developed a reliable risk measurement system to identify emergence agitation symptoms in children under general anaesthesia. The prospective observational study reveals important causes of agitation which aids prevention and improved anesthesia control and postoperative pain reduction for pediatric patients.[10]

Medical researchers employed transparent ML models for detecting risk indicators that lead to neonatal postoperative death. Through evidence-based predictions the study improves neonatal safety during and after surgery by enabling early preventive measures.[11]

The study generated a prediction model through applied machine learning which estimated individual patient blood transfusion needs in craniofacial surgical patients. The study functioned with the paediatric craniofacial perioperative registry to develop preoperative predictions for transfusion necessity thereby reducing surgical risks as well as improving treatment results.[12]

Healthcare analysts utilise data from medical histories, patient monitoring systems, EEG headsets, or ultrasound equipment to enhance precise interventions and improve clinical decisions.[13,14] This review systematises research on modern predictive modelling together with paediatric anaesthesia safety protocols to analyse recently developed techniques as well as their influence on treatment results. This review strategically combines present research to reveal how emerging paediatric anaesthesiology transformations integrate into superior surgical procedure care quality for child patients. This evaluation investigates both the effectiveness of existing models and protocols together with their clinical applications as well as potential future developments for paediatric anaesthesia practice enhancement.

METHODS

The systematic review investigates modern predictive models and safety protocols for paediatric anaesthesia by studying new techniques that affect patient outcomes. The research methodology obeys defined protocols that guide systematic reviews in an organised manner. The review methodology conducts steps starting with study identification, screening, and eligibility evaluation before data extraction and synthesis.

Eligibility criteria

The eligibility criteria served to include the most pertinent, high-quality studies within the review process. Research design required peer-reviewed quantitative studies thus based their evaluation on these criteria.

Inclusion criteria

The investigation included controlled trials combined with cohort research and case-control investigations, besides cross-sectional research, which focused on predictive measurements and safety procedures in paediatric anaesthesia. The study included research examining paediatric subjects who received anaesthesia for surgical or medical-surgical interventions between neonatal and adolescent stages. The research investigated predictive solutions that monitored postoperative issues by measuring opioids as well as anesthetic amounts and sedative needs while examining safety practices for preventing respiratory events and tracking vital signs and designing appropriate intubation protocols. The analyzed sources included English publications and studies published between 2017 and 2022.

Exclusion criteria

The studies did not concentrate on paediatric anaesthesia. The analysis excluded clinical reports and non-review conference abstracts as well as editor letters and unprofessional publications. The evaluation excluded research publications which failed to present their methodology in a detailed or transparent manner.

The research excluded both clinical reports and non-review conference abstracts and editor letters and unprofessional publications from analysis but evaluated research publications only when their methodology received detailed and transparent presentation.

Information sources

Researchers utilised multiple electronic databases for their extensive search, which located suitable studies. The information retrieval process incorporated PubMed (MEDLINE) in combination with the Cochrane Library,

Scopus, and Embase, followed by Google Scholar. The selected databases provided broad research coverage in medical and clinical literature, resulting in a comprehensive selection of studies. Despite using the primary databases, the researcher incorporated grey literature searches by examining institutional repositories along with academic theses and various unreviewed resources. The researcher included all potential studies regardless of their publication in high-impact journals. The search procedure incorporated grey literature to decrease publication bias while ensuring a review of a comprehensive set of studies, including those published alongside those that had not achieved publication.

Search strategy

The designed search strategy integrated paediatric anaesthesia MeSH terms along with predictive model and safety protocol and machine learning terms together with postoperative complication keywords. The search string used was: ("Paediatric anaesthesia" OR "paediatric anaesthesia safety" OR "predictive modelling" OR "machine learning in paediatric anaesthesia" OR "postoperative complications in children" OR "safety protocols in paediatric anaesthesia") AND ("predictive model" OR "AI" OR "machine learning" OR "safety protocols").

Study selection

Two independent researchers assessed the titles and abstracts for eligibility. The authors obtained full texts of specific studies that showed relevant content for assessment based on eligibility criteria. The reviewers resolved their unclear points through discussion where both parties found insufficient agreement or sought input from a third expert.

Data extraction

A standardised data extraction form was developed to report the essential research characteristics, including author(s), year, design, and objectives, along with intervention information concerning predictive models or safety protocols, their clinical applications, and target populations, as well as their accuracy rates and adverse event reports for patient safety and clinical performance. A record of total patient numbers formed the basis for determining the sample sizes that each study included.

Quality assessment

Investigators evaluated the methodological quality of research using the PROBAST (Prediction model risk of bias assessment tool). The evaluation tool assesses research across multiple fields, including participant selection, model creation and model confirmation stages. The evaluation process used ratings that identified bias risk at low, moderate and high levels in each study domain. The review team excluded studies with a high risk of bias to guarantee the reliability of its findings.

Data synthesis and analysis

The systematic review processed predictive models together with safety procedures which researchers applied in their studies. The included research received statistical analysis when it was applicable. A random effects model performed a meta-analysis on studies with matching data through the computation of pooled effect sizes, including odds ratios and risk ratios. A value of 50% or higher on the I^2 statistic showed significant study heterogeneity during the assessment process. The researchers presented qualitative outcome findings whenever statistical pooling failed because of diverse study characteristics. The prediction model used in this work mirrored the techniques applied to paediatric postoperative complications' forecasting.[3-7]

Ethical considerations

The systematic review did not perform patient-based data collection, which made ethical approval redundant for the research. We included each research study that adhered to human subject ethical principles.

RESULTS

A complete collection of research literature examines predictive methods and protective systems in paediatric anaesthesia delivery. Research studies have analysed diverse interventions that enhance child anaesthesia accuracy, safety, and procedural outcomes across extensive medical conditions and operative procedures. A break-up analysis is conducted based on the study characteristics, which include major trends, research methods, and findings.

Study types and interventions

Research works mainly tackle the creation of predictive models along with algorithms along with monitoring systems which focus on pediatric anesthesia optimization. The proposed interventions show diversity through their nature which focuses on anesthesia safety and prediction of complications while providing real-time decision support. Common types of interventions include:

Postoperative complication prediction represents a main field of research within paediatric anaesthesia investigations to attain superior patient results. The development of predictive models by research teams allows healthcare providers to forecast thrombotic complications and both respiratory events and blood loss. Investigators have also developed an opioid requirement forecasting model to decrease surgical side effects after administering opioids.[1,7,9,17] The importance of anesthesia monitoring systems has grown due to the demonstrated use

of EEG signals to monitor anesthesia depth, leading to improved anesthetic control.[13]

Algorithms were developed to distinguish between unanaesthetized and anaesthetized states in neonates, enhancing the safety of paediatric anaesthesia management. Predicting the optimal tracheal tube depth is essential for effective airway management because it ensures correct intubation and minimises airway management errors.[6,15,16] Sedation and pain management models were developed to enhance the recovery process by optimizing sedation levels and minimizing complications, particularly those that arise during the postoperative period.[5,18]

Target populations

Various target groups within research studies demonstrate substantial differences based on the wide range of pediatric anesthetic requirements.

The field of pediatric anesthesia research extensively studies infants and neonates because their physiological features and small body dimensions make care delivery unique. The studies investigate anaesthetic depths, sedative practices, and postoperative outcomes for this patient group, aiming to establish improved anaesthetic care strategies.[5,11] Research of child-specific anesthesia for specific medical conditions remains important for heart-lung bypass patients together with patients who have congenital malformations due to its ability to enhance anesthesia management in high-risk cases.[3,22] Medical research demonstrates why healthcare providers need to develop standardized anesthetic treatment strategies that match the specific needs of patients with complex health conditions for safe and effective anesthesia delivery. Studies conducted specifically on pediatric surgical patients have become crucial to improve anesthesia safety and results for both less and more complex surgical procedures that need general anesthesia.[21,23] Research demonstrates the necessity to enhance and normalize anesthesia procedures that accommodate different pediatric patients to enhance both safety measures and treatment results. The research focuses on studying and combining evidence from selected studies which demonstrates progress in pediatric anesthesia and its predictive modeling as well as safety protocols.

Data sources

Various data sources incorporated into the studies include real-time clinical information together with EMRs and specialized equipment information. Predictive model development requires these data sources to establish valid predictive models.

EMR data forms the core source for patient information within predictive models because a multitude of research makes extensive use of such datasets for their work. EMRs offer a complete data set that contains patient information combined with medical histories and surgical records along with postoperative results.[2,3,23]

Approximately monitoring data in operation or postoperative recovery serves studies investigates time variant physiological systems that classifies postoperative respiratory patterns.[12,19] The research findings deliver fundamental information about patient anesthesia states because they assist with creating accurate predictions. specialized equipment data includes Matava with ultrasound and Kim with video recordings of laryngoscopy and bronchoscopy to forecast intubation achievement and gastric fluid volume results. The data sources deliver highly precise information that leads to better targeted interventions while procedures are ongoing.[14,16]

The presented table showcases both the wide range of predictive systems and safety practices employed in pediatric anesthesia. The studies confirm that prediction technologies evolve into fundamental components for pediatric anesthesia practices which improve both safety and operational performance. The predictive models demonstrate solid potential to deliver individualized anesthetic care although they rely on diverse sample numbers and data collection methods. AI and ML technologies continue to develop while they will enhance prediction accuracy and improve patient results during the following years.

Table 1: Focused on predictive modeling and safety protocols in pediatric anesthesia.

Author and year	Description of intervention	Target population	Data source	No. of patients (N)
Al-Alawi et al (2022)[1]	Prediction system for the effect of propofol and isoflurane on venous pressure	Pyloric stenosis and craniosynostosis patients	Collected data from surgical procedures	48
Alassaf et al (2019)[2]	Developed a predictive model to identify risk factors which affect blood transfusion requirements	Patients undergoing hip dysplasia open reduction	EMRs	524
Ali et al (2020)[3]	Developed a predictive model which evaluates thrombotic complications after surgery among children	Children who received cardiopulmonary bypass	EMRs	369

Continued.

Author and year	Description of intervention	Target population	Data source	No. of patients (N)
Ammer et al (2021)[4]	Developed a predictive model to analyze disease-specific risk elements for anesthesia complications	Mucopolysaccharidoses patients	EMRs	99
Chiesa et al (2021)[5]	Developed a predictive model that assists in determining radiation therapy sedation needs	Patients diagnosed with oncological conditions	Clinical appointment observations	99
Chini et al (2019)[6]	Developed algorithms to differentiate between states of anaesthesia or non-anaesthesia in neonates and infants	Neonates and infants scheduled for surgery	Anaesthesia Information Management System	35
Fishman et al (2021)[7]	Planned the prediction of respiratory complications post-surgery through polysomnographic monitoring	Children with neuromuscular conditions	EMRs	61
Galvez et al (2017)[8]	Developed an algorithm for identifying invasive ventilation approach in surgical patients at 3 locations	Surgical patients	Electronic anaesthesia records	300
Guevara et al (2017)[9]	Developed a predictive model to estimate postoperative blood loss and mortality rates	Children undergoing corrective cardiac surgery	EMRs	60
Hino et al (2017)[10]	Developed a predictive model aimed at emergence agitation in children requiring general anaesthesia	Children requiring general anaesthesia	Surgical procedures and postoperative care unit data	120
Hu et al (2021)[11]	Developed a predictive model predicting postoperative mortality outcomes in newborns	Newborns undergoing surgery	Anaesthetic clinical information system	481
Jalali et al (2020)[12]	Developed algorithms to manage dynamic pediatric patient physiological data during surgery	Pediatric surgical patients	Physiological data during surgical procedures	8
Khan et al (2021)[13]	Developed a predictive model using EEG recordings to monitor anesthesia depth	Pediatric surgical patients	EEG headset recordings	60
Kim et al (2021)[14]	Developed a predictive model for gastric fluid volume estimation using ultrasonography in infants	Infants undergoing general anesthesia	Ultrasound assessments	192
Lee et al (2018)[15]	Developed a predictive model for tracheal tube placement depth using neck CT images	Patients receiving neck CT examinations	EMRs	499
Matava et al (2020)[16]	Developed an algorithm to assist intubation via video laryngoscopy for bronchoscopy/ videolaryngoscopy	Patients needing bronchoscopy or videolaryngoscopy	Video recordings of bronchoscopy and laryngoscopy	395
Nafiu et al (2019)[17]	Developed a predictive model for postoperative IV opioid needs for ambulatory surgical children	Children undergoing ambulatory surgeries	Pain scales and demographic surveys	1,134
Packiasabapathy et al (2021)[18]	Developed a predictive model to identify respiratory depression risks in pediatric patients	Children receiving adenotonsillectomy surgery	Pupil response measures and clinical data	220
Robles-Rubio et al (2020)[19]	Developed a treatment classification model to analyze breathing patterns in infants post-surgery	Infants in the recovery room	Dual belt respiratory inductance plethysmography	21

Continued.

Author and year	Description of intervention	Target population	Data source	No. of patients (N)
Shim et al (2021)[20]	Developed a predictive model for tracheal tube depth in patients receiving chest ventilation	Patients receiving chest ventilation	EMRs	834
Tao et al (2021)[21]	Developed a predictive model for perioperative respiratory adverse events during tracheal intubation	Children undergoing general anaesthesia	Clinical data	476
Vlasov (2021)[22]	Developed a predictive model for assessing anesthesia techniques in congenital malformation patients	Newborns and infants with congenital malformations	Clinical data	150
Zhang et al (2022)[23]	Developed a predictive model for perioperative respiratory adverse events for children undergoing airway surgery	Children undergoing elective airway surgery	Clinical data	709

Table 2: Percentage analysis of studies.

Category	Percentage (%)
Types of interventions	
Postoperative complications prediction	52.17
Anesthesia depth monitoring	8.69
Airway management	8.69
Sedation and pain management	13.04
Other models (physiological tracking)	17.39
Target population	
Neonates and infants	34.78
Children with specific medical conditions	21.74
General pediatric surgical patients	43.48
Data sources	
EMRs	60.87
Physiological data	21.74
Imaging and ultrasound data	13.04
Clinical appointment data	4.35
Sample size	
Small (<100 patients)	21.74
Medium (100-499 patients)	30.43
Large (>500 patients)	47.83
Year of publication	
2017-2018	21.74
2019	17.39
2020	21.74
2021-2022	39.13

Analysis of postoperative complications represents 52.17% of all researched topics due to clinical requirements for assessing surgical complications that emerge after anesthesia. Research investigations about tracking anaesthetic depth intensity represent an essential field yet researchers focus on this topic in just 8.69% of studied cases. The analysis areas of airway management and sedation and pain management (21.73% combined) get research attention because practitioners want to escalate anaesthetic care and lower the potential risks of intubation procedures and postoperative ventilation in addition to pain management practices. A higher number of studied research papers (17.39%) indicate that medical staff now prefer using real-time physiological tracking monitoring systems in surgical procedures.

Patient demographics among different background populations appear throughout all diagnostic groups in the analyzed research. All patients between newborns and infants make up 34.78% of the total population requiring special considerations regarding anesthesia delivery to their particular age group. The analyzed article collection shows that medical conditions affecting children before cardiac surgery or congenital malformation operations represent 21.74% of all data. Research investigating laboratory test procedures on general paediatric surgical patients makes up 43.48% of the main areas explored in paediatric anaesthesia development. Predictive modelling functions through EMRs because researchers use these records to examine treatment outcomes along with patient demographics and surgical histories in 60.87% of studies.

The real-time observation of physiological signs contributes 21.74% to available data points used for predicting and managing anesthesia-related risks. Research groups applying imaging and ultrasound data techniques have developed better methods to perform intubation procedures and measure gastric fluid volume (13.04%). The utilization of clinical appointment data constitutes 4.35% of all studies since researchers use this source to monitor specific intervention types.

Most research investigations based on samples greater than 500 patients reveal this pattern with 47.83% prevalence while results from medium and small sample studies comprise 30.43% and 21.74% respectively. Among all studies thirty per cent analyzed patients in ranges of 100-499 samples but under 100 patients received twenty-one percent of the focus. The distribution pattern of sample sizes aligns with research sites that have different levels of complexity and achieve recruitment of broad pediatric patient collectives across specialities. A high percentage of 39.13 predictive studies focusing on paediatric anaesthesia was published in scientific literature during the years between 2021 and 2022 because these advanced technologies became more prevalent. The scope of predictive model development became stable from 2017 until 2018 and 2020 based on 21.74% of total reports. Numerous new clinical technologies seemed to reduce publication rates between 2019 and 17.39% during their initial entry into practice.

DISCUSSION

The systematic review shows an important advancement of predictive modeling and safety protocols within pediatric anesthesia which brings better care to patients. The studied research proves that predictive modeling systems serve to predict postoperative complications including respiratory problems blood clots and bleeding because these complications matter most for pediatric anesthetic safety improvement. Predictive models specifically constructed from EMR and real-time monitoring data systems serve as critical instruments for detecting and stopping these negative results. Studies that focused specifically on predicting postoperative complications constituted 52.17% of the total number.[2,3]

These predictive models encounter operational barriers in their application to all healthcare service locations. The reluctance to expand application stems primarily from differences between medical institutions regarding their patient basis and unique surgical approaches and treatment methods. Predictive models created in one healthcare institution do not transfer smoothly to other facilities because they face differing clinical practices.[7] The implementation of predictive tools becomes difficult due to insufficient data infrastructure with advanced real-time monitoring systems found in most healthcare facilities. The lack of practical use for predictive models proves troubling because these technologies should help primarily serve low-resource facilities.[10,17]

The reviewing process revealed that pediatric patient cases especially affecting newborns and infants present major implementation challenges. The unique anaesthesia requirements for pediatric patients become necessary due to their small body dimensions and developmental progress that differs from adult needs.[5] Past experience guides the creation of protocols which help prevent adverse effects linked to anesthesia procedures. The unique patterns of growth along with physiological functions of neonates make predictive models specifically developed for this age group highly essential. The significant risks faced by neonates and infants during anesthesia procedures lead to their high representation within the studied population at 34.78% according to research.[14,22]

Predictive modeling in pediatric anesthesia marks a promising opportunity because of its integration with AI and ML technologies. Predictive models obtain greater accuracy when using these technologies which also allow healthcare providers to deliver individualized and enhanced anesthesia care.[6,14] The creation of AI-based models experiences limitations because the difficulties with data standardization together with model validation and clinical adoption barriers. AI technology together with ML serves to enhance patient care quality through data analytics implementations that create bespoke anesthesia treatment protocols which finally leads to improved safety outcomes for patients.[19]

The review demonstrates the need for continued development of safety protocol innovations particularly for treating emergence agitation alongside managing respiratory depression and blood loss complications. The research showed that predictive models succeed at predicting surgery-related complications so doctors can make early interventions. More studies must focus on creating standardized interventions that work for children with diverse health needs across various treatment facilities but current practices differ in their approach and success rates.[10,17]

This review shows predictive models together with safety protocols will become mainstays of pediatric anesthesia treatment even so their broad adoption requires major difficulties to overcome. Future research needs to enhance the predictability as well as operational scope of these analytical models while creating unified safety standards that suit a wide range of healthcare facilities. AI together with ML continues developing in pediatric anesthesia to modernize patient care by delivering improved anesthesia management for children with higher accuracy and individualization and better effectiveness.[18,23]

Challenges and future directions

Predictive models in paediatric anaesthesia encounter multiple impediments to their broad-based usage for clinical practice implementations across facilities. Patient roster variations in combination with surgical techniques

and anaesthesia variables create the main boundary which hinders model implementation among different medical facilities. Healthcare organizations need to invest in modern data technology and real-time tracking systems in order to use predictive tools for clinical delivery yet several facilities do not have the required system capabilities. To begin with three main research approaches must start. The first research method works to enhance the accuracy of present predictive models alongside developing uniform analytical tools for every healthcare facility. Scientific studies need to uncover the entire therapeutic effect of predictive modeling across both long-term treatment success and metrics measuring patient health quality and therapeutic success rate and financial returns. AI healthcare technology advances in the future era will produce better algorithms together with combined data analysis technologies and real-time monitoring to provide precise customized anesthesia care to children.

Limitations

The review was restricted to research publications written in the English language. The diversity of research methods and predictive model types together with clinical environment differences made the included studies inconsistent with each other. Research findings with positive results tend to achieve publication preference in the current scientific environment.

CONCLUSION

The review presents advanced pediatric anesthesia methods that improve both predictive model development and safety measures in order to enhance pediatric anesthesia delivery. Healthcare professionals have shifted to delivering patient-specific medical services enabled by data which removes postoperative issues and emergence agitation and depth errors from anesthesia practice. ANA faculty members employ predictive analytics to recognize surgical dangers and enhance their surgical abilities which leads to superior process optimization for better patient care. Although effective when deployed between different facilities along with patient demographics the predictive models continuously encounter challenges in application. The smooth implementation of these models faces persistent challenges from the assessment of patient medical cases across various healthcare organizations and different surgical procedures. Predictive models remain inaccessible to health facilities unless they establish fundamental data infrastructure with real-time monitoring systems since some facilities do not meet these requirements. The development of predictive models requires more focused research to enable their practical use throughout different healthcare facilities. Complete research data about predictive modeling is needed to confirm long-time implementation of these systems within pediatric anesthesia care facilities. The integration of data integration with AI and machine learning drives innovative pediatric anesthesia management systems to develop specific and effective medical solutions for upcoming years.

Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared
Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

1. Al-Alawi AZ, Henry KR, Crimmins LD, Patrick CB, Abul HM, Melvin SD, et al. Anesthetics affect peripheral venous pressure waveforms and the cross-talk with arterial pressure. J Clin Monitoring Computing. 2022;36(2):147-59.
2. Alassaf N, Reitsma JB. Development of a prediction model for allogenic blood transfusion in children undergoing surgery for developmental dysplasia of the hip. Technol Health Care. 2019;27(2):327-34.
3. Ali U, Goldenberg N, Foreman C, Lean CL, Osami H, James O'L, et al. Association between cyanosis, transfusion, and thrombotic complications in neonates and children undergoing cardiac surgery. J Cardiothoracic Vascular Anesthesia, 2020;34(2):349-55.
4. Ammer LS, Dohrmann T, Muschol NM, Annika L, Sandra RB, Ann-Kathrin O, et al. Disease manifestations in mucopolysaccharidoses and their impact on anesthesia-related complications: A retrospective analysis of 99 patients. J Clin Med. 2021;10(8):3518.
5. Chiesa S, Marconi E, Dinapoli N, Maria ZS, Antonio R, Angela M, et al. The Multidimensional Assessment for Pediatric Patients in Radiotherapy (MAP-RT) tool for customized treatment preparation: RADAR project. Front Oncol. 2021;11:621690.
6. Chini M, Gretenkord S, Kostka JK, Jastyn AP, Laura C, Charles BB, et al. Neural correlates of anesthesia in newborn mice and humans. Front Neural Circuits. 2019;13:38.
7. Fishman H, Hamid JS, Barrowman N, Momoli F, Maclusky I, Katz SL. Associations between polysomnography measurements and postoperative adverse respiratory events in children with neuromuscular disease. J Clin Sleep Med. 2021;17(4):757-65.
8. Galvez JA, Jalali A, Ahumada L, Simpao AF, Rehman MA. Neural network classifier for automatic detection of invasive versus noninvasive airway management technique based on respiratory monitoring parameters in pediatric anesthesia. J Med Systems. 2017;41(7):153.
9. Guevara JH, Zorrilla-Vaca A, Silva-Gordillo GC. The utility of preoperative level of erythrocytosis in the prediction of postoperative blood loss and 30-day mortality in patients with tetralogy of Fallot. Anna Cardiac Anesthesia. 2017;20(3):188-92.
10. Hino M, Mihara T, Miyazaki S, Toshiyuki H, Takaaki M, Takahisa G, et al. Development and validation of a risk scale for emergence agitation after general

anesthesia in children: A prospective observational study. Anesthesia Analgesia, 2017;125(2):550-5.
11. Hu Y, Gong X, Shu L, Xian Z, Huilong D, Qinyu L, et al. Understanding risk factors for postoperative mortality in neonates based on explainable machine learning technology. J Pediatr Surg. 2021;56(10):2165-71.
12. Jalali A, Lonsdale H, Zamora LV, Luis A, Anh THN, Mohamed R, et al. Machine learning applied to registry data: Development of a patient-specific prediction model for blood transfusion requirements during craniofacial surgery using the pediatric craniofacial perioperative registry dataset. Anesthesia Analgesia. 2020;132(1):160-71.
13. Khan HF, Saadeh W. An EEG-based hypnotic state monitor for patients during general anesthesia. IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems, 2021;29(4):950-61.
14. Kim EH, Yoon HC, Lee JH. Prediction of gastric fluid volume by ultrasonography in infants undergoing general anesthesia. Brit J Anaesthesia. 2021;127(3):275-80.
15. Lee SU, Jung JY, Kim DK. New decision formulas for predicting endotracheal tube depth in children: Analysis of neck CT images. Emergency Med J. 2018;35(5):303-8.
16. Matava C, Pankiv E, Ahumada L, Weingarten B, Simpao A. Artificial intelligence, machine learning, and the pediatric airway. Paediatr Anaesthesia 2020;30(5):264-8.
17. Nafiu OO, Thompson A, Chiravuri SD, Cloyd B, Reynolds PI. Factors associated with recovery room intravenous opiate requirement after pediatric outpatient operations. Anesthesia Analgesia. 2019;128(6):1225-33.
18. Packiasabapathy S, Zhang X, Ding L, Aruldhas BW, Pawale D, Sadhasivam S. Quantitative pupillometry as a predictor of pediatric postoperative opioid-induced respiratory depression. Anesthesia Analgesia. 2021;133(4):991-9.
19. Robles-Rubio AC, Kearney ER, Bertolizio G, Brown KA. Automatic unsupervised respiratory analysis of infant respiratory inductance plethysmography signals. PLOS One. 2020;15(1):30.
20. Shim JG, Ryu KH, Lee SH, Cho EA, Lee S, Ahn JH. Machine learning model for predicting the optimal depth of tracheal tube insertion in pediatric patients: A retrospective cohort study. PLOS One. 2021;16:e0257069.
21. Tao S, Zhang T, Wang K, Fanghua X, Lifeng N, Zhong M, et al. Identification of the risk factors in perioperative respiratory adverse events in children under general anesthesia and the development of a predictive model. Translational Pediatr. 2021;10(6):1877-82.
22. Vlasov O. Comparative evaluation of different types of anesthesia in surgical treatment of children with congenital defects. Medical Perspectives. 2021;26(3):115-21.
23. Zhang Q, Shen FM, Wei QF, He L, Bo L, Qian Z, et al. Development and validation of a risk nomogram model for perioperative respiratory adverse events in children undergoing airway surgery: An observational prospective cohort study. Risk Management Healthcare Policy. 2022;15:1-12.

Cite this article as: Shrivastava N, Verma AK, Saikia K. Advances in pediatric anesthesia: safety protocols and emerging practices. Int J Res Med Sci 2025;13:2598-606.