

Case Report

A case report: primary CNS angiitis

Madhuri P. Holay, Prasad D. Game*, Sandip Kharkar, Piyush Chudalwar

Department of Medicine, Government Medical College, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Received: 20 May 2015

Accepted: 21 May 2015

***Correspondence:**

Dr. Prasad D. Game,

E-mail: game_prasad@yahoo.co.in

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

The primary angiitis of the central nervous system (PACNS) is an entity with a very low incidence and prevalence. It affects small and medium sized arteries of the brain parenchyma, spinal cord and leptomeninges resulting in CNS dysfunction. It is defined by inflammation of the cerebral vasculature without angiitis of other organ. Its clinical manifestations are very heterogeneous and make clinical diagnosis difficult. In most cases, a brain biopsy is required. Only the clinical suspicion and the ability to recognize the possible clinical and imagenological patterns of presentation make an accurate diagnosis possible.

We hereby report a case of Primary angiitis of CNS in 35 year old right handed male who presented with ischemic stroke with Left Hemiplegia. The diagnosis of PACNS was made after ruling out most of the causes of secondary CNS angiitis.

Keywords: Primary angiitis of CNS (PACNS), stroke, Vasculitis, Methylprednisolone.

INTRODUCTION

The primary angiitis of the central nervous system (PACNS) is an uncommon disorder of unknown cause that is restricted to the brain and spinal cord, leading to inflammation and destruction of vessels at this level, without evidence of vasculitis outside the central nervous system. An annual incidence of 2.4 cases per million patient/years is reported which is extremely low.¹ PACNS initially termed isolated central nervous system vasculitis was first proposed as separate clinical entity in 1959 by Cravioto and Feigin. The diagnosis remains a challenge, since there are no universally accepted diagnostic criteria and imaging findings may not be specific. Different types of clinical presentation have been described, which makes it even more difficult to identify. Unlike systemic vasculitis, this entity lacks positive autoantibodies. Brain biopsy is required usually to confirm the diagnosis.²

Clinicians caring for patients with suspected PACNS should be familiar with its mimics to avoid misdiagnosis.

We here report a case of PACNS diagnosed on clinical & imagenological patterns of presentation.

CASE REPORT

A 35 year old right handed male without any relevant previous history presented with three months of progressive headache and declinment in cognition. Two days prior to hospitalization he had sudden onset left hemiparesis and altered sensorium. There was no history of seizures, vomiting, fever or any other complaints. He had no significant past history of hypertension, DM, Tuberculosis, Rheumatic heart disease, HIV or any arthritis.

On physical examination he was drowsy, irritable & had no signs of meningeal irritation. His vitals were stable with pulse 70/min regular, BP 120/80 mmHg & normal respiration. Rest of the general examination was normal. On neurological exam his modified rankins score was 5. Except for altered consciousness with left hemiplegia, no other neurological deficit was present. Other systemic

PACNS typically involves small-medium sized arteries and veins, especially those located in leptomeninges and subcortical areas. The characteristic histopathologic findings consist of inflammatory infiltration of vessel walls by T lymphocytes and activated macrophages which undergo granulomatous differentiation with giant-cell formation.¹

The clinical, imaging, and angiography spectra can be very broad. The median age of onset is 50 years, but may affect patients of all ages. The neurological manifestations are diverse, ranging from hyperacute to chronic and insidious. The majority of patients present with subacute manifestations of diffuse CNS dysfunction. Acute presentation is highly unusual. The most common initial symptoms are headache (63%) and cognitive impairment (50%). Focal symptoms usually appear later in the course of the disease and include hemiparesis (44%), stroke (40%), aphasia (28%), transient ischemic attack (28%), ataxia (19%), seizures (16%), dysarthria (15%) and blurred vision or decreased visual acuity (11%). Infrequent manifestations, occurring in less than 10% of patients, include intracranial hemorrhage, amnesic syndrome, spinal cord manifestations such as paraparesis, quadriparesis, parkinsonism, vertigo, dizziness or cranial nerve palsy. Most patients have multiple manifestations.³⁻⁴

Heterogeneous patterns and outcomes of the disease have been described, like fulminant disease onset, spinal involvement, prominent leptomeningeal enhancement, and negative cerebral angiography, suggesting that medium-sized vessels are affected. CSF examination reveals evidence for aseptic meningitis in over 90% of patients. First series of PACNS reported in Colombia showed heterogeneous clinical presentation, studied completely & treated properly.⁵

The most important differential diagnosis is the systemic vasculitis, which is characterized by the presence of constitutional symptoms and serological markers indicating systemic inflammation. While in PACNS inflammatory process is limited to the CNS only (See Table 1). Tuberculosis is another important mimic of PACNS. No single laboratory test has sufficient sensitivity or specificity to establish the diagnosis, and high clinical suspicion is necessary. Brain biopsy is required to confirm the diagnosis and exclude other causes. Histologic confirmation of vasculitis is considered the standard for the diagnosis of CNS vasculitis, but this procedure is also limited by several factors. First, the procedure is highly invasive and requires the skills of an experienced neurosurgeon, who might not always be available. Second, the technical aspects of the procedures should be tailored to the individual patient. In patients with suspected GACNS (Granulomatous angiitis of CNS) the procedure of choice is open-wedge biopsy of the tip of the nondominant temporal lobe with sampling of the overlying leptomeninges and underlying cortex. Alternatively, directing the biopsy to an area of leptomeningeal

enhancement, when present, might increase the sensitivity. CNS vasculitis is a notoriously patchy disease, which limits the sensitivity of the procedure, and as many as 25% of the biopsies are falsely negative. Finally, the presence of vasculitis in the biopsy specimen should not preclude performing special stains and cultures for occult infections that can produce secondary vascular inflammation.⁶ In the present case brain biopsy could not be performed due to lack of expertise.

Table 1: Mimics of Primary Angiitis of the Central Nervous System.

Systemic vasculitis & Connective Tissue disorder	Neoplasms
Polyarteritis nodosa	Hodgkin's & Non Hodgkin's Lymphoma
Allergic granulomatosis	Leukemia
Vasculitis with connective tissue disease	Atrial Myxoma
Henoch Schaeonlein purpura	Malignant angiotheliomatosis
Wegeners granulomatosis	Miscellaneous
Temporal & Takayasu's arteritis	Fibromuscular dysplasia
Behcet's Disease	Moyamoya disease
Systemic lupus erythomatosis	TTP
Sacoidosis	Cerebrovascular atherosclerosis
Lymphomatoid granulomatosis	Embolitic disease
Infections	Antiphospholipid syndrome
Viral	Drug exposure (Sympathomimetic drugs)
Bacterial	
Fungal	
Rickettsial	

Based on published studies, the estimated sensitivity of cerebral angiography for detection of vasculitis is between 27% and 90% and for brain biopsy between 36% and 83%. MRI is the main neuroradiographical modality for the workup of patients with suspected PACNS, and generally, the combination of normal findings on MRI and normal CSF analysis has a high negative predictive value for the diagnosis of PACNS.⁵ Calabrese & Mallek⁷ proposed a series of diagnostic criteria combining, clinical, imaging and histopathologic findings. These include: 1) neurologic deficit that remains unexplained after a vigorous diagnostic workup, including lumbar puncture and neuroimaging studies, 2) angiographic abnormalities highly suggestive of vasculitis or histopathologic evidence of vasculitis within the CNS and 3) no evidence of systemic vasculitis or any other condition to which the angiographic or pathologic findings can be attributed. Our patient was fulfilling all three criteria for PACNS.

A common danger in the workup diagnosis is to start immunosuppressive treatment without establishment of diagnosis or exclusion of other diseases. Unfortunately no

randomised studies of PACNS have been done, and thus all information on treatment is based on retrospective observational data and clinical experience. The efficacy of most immunosuppressive agents such as azathioprine, methotrexate, and rituximab that are used in systemic vasculitis is still unknown or remains elusive in PACNS.⁶ The vast majority of case series suggest a high degree of good outcomes when patients are treated with glucocorticoids or glucocorticoids and cyclophosphamide. However, there are some reports using a therapy combining mycophenolate mofetil and steroids allowing control of the disease with disappearance of the neurological abnormalities, restoration of normal daily activities and dramatic improvement in brain MRI abnormalities.⁸ Reported mortality of this condition is high. As per literature 14% of 29 patients with biopsy-proven PACNS died or had severe morbidity (Modified Rankin Scale of 5) at follow-up of 1.14 years.²

Complete work up except brain biopsy was done in our case. The high clinical suspicion and appropriate diagnostic approach to this rare clinical condition PACNS, can avoid misdiagnosis by physician & more number of cases can be reported.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

1. Younger DS. Vasculitis of the nervous system. *Curr Opin Neurol.* 2004;17:317.
2. Salvarani C, Brown RD Jr, Calamia KT, et al. Primary central nervous system vasculitis: analysis

of 101 patients. *Annals of Neurology.* 2007;62:442–51.

3. Alba NA, Espígol-Frigolé G, Sergio Prieto-González S, García-Martínez ITA, Butjosa M, and Mariaccid JHR. Central Nervous System Vasculitis: Still More Questions than Answers. *Current Neuropharmacol.* 2011;9(3):437-48.
4. Miller DV, Salvarani C, Hunder GG, Brown RD, Parisi JE, Christianson TJ. Biopsy findings in primary angiitis of the central nervous system. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2009;33(1):35-43.
Bonilla-Abadía NCF, Cortes OA, Izquierdo JH, Shínchi AM, Bravo JC, Tobón GJ, et al. Primary Angiitis of the Central Nervous System: A Report of Three Cases from a single Colombian Center. *Case Reports in Neurological Medicine.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 940438, 4 pages <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/940438>
5. Parisi JE, Moore PM. The role of biopsy in vasculitis of the central nervous system. *Semin Neurol.* 1994;14:341-8.
6. Calabrese LH, Mallek JA. Primartangitis of central nervous system report of the 8 new Cases review of the literature & proposal for diagnostic criteria. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 1988;67:20.
7. Amara AW, Bashir K, Palmer CA, Walker HC. Challenges in diagnosis of isolated central nervous system vasculitis. *Brain and Behavior.* 2011;1:57–61.

Cite this article as: Holay MP, Game PD, Kharkar S, Chudalwar P. A case report: primary CNS angiitis. *Int J Res Med Sci* 2015;3:1813-6.