Case Report

DOI: 10.5455/2320-6012.ijrms20140266

Giant urethral calculus associated with urethrocutaneous fistula: a case report

Narayan Das*, Damodar Chatterjee

Department of Surgery, Agartala Government Medical College, Agartala, Tripura, India

Received: 15 September 2013 **Accepted:** 22 September 2013

*Correspondence:

Dr. Narayan Das,

E-mail: narayandas9862019118@gmail.com

© 2014 Das N et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Urethral stones in men are rare clinical entity and most of them migrate from urinary bladder. Giant urethral calculi are extremely rare. This report describes the case of 62 year male patient presenting with giant bulbar urethral calculus associated with urethrocutaneous fistula.

Keywords: Giant urethral calculus, Urethrocutaneous fistula, Perineal urothrotomy

INTRODUCTION

Urethral stones are commonly associated with urinary tract calculi and underlying diverticulum or stricture urethra. Urethral calculus represent less then 1% of all urinary stones diseases. Giant urethral calculi occur in males and rarely in female. This report describes the case of a giant urethral stone impacted in the bulbar urethra and remove by external urethrotomy.

CASE REPORT

A 62 year- old male patient presented in the surgical ward with a swelling in the scrotum extending to perineum and dribbling of urine through an opening of scrotal skin formed an urethrocutaneous fistula for 15 days duration. The patient reported a history of incision and drainage for periurethral abscess 12 years back. On physical examination a painless mass of size 5cm x 4 cm x 3.5 cm was palpable at anterior perineum. Examination also revealed a urethrocutaneous fistula (Figure 1). Old scar mark was noted in perineum. General physical examination did not reveal any abnormality. Routine blood tests and renal function tests were normal. X-ray pelvis – showed a large calculus in the bulbar urethra

(Figure 2). X-ray KUB region and Ultrasonography of abdomen were reported as normal. A calculus was removed under LA, with adequate monitoring and vigilance by perineal urethrolithotomy (Figure 3). It measures 5 cm x 4 cm x 3.5 cm and weight 95 gm (Figure 4). The calculus was found impacted in bulbar urethra. Urethra was repaired in two layers over a foley's catheter which was removed after 14 days. The postoperative period was uneventful.



Figure 1: Pre-operative picture giant urethral stone with urethrocutaneous fistula.



Figure 2: X- ray pelvis showing a giant urethral calculus.



Figure 3: Per-operative photograph showing an impacted calculus in bulbar urethra.



Figure 4: Post-operative photograph of a giant urethral calculus.

DISSCUSSION

Urethral stones are rare form of urolithiasis accounting for less than 1% of all urinary stones diseases. Urethral stones are classified as (a) primary or native and (b) secondary or migrant depending upon the site of origin. Urethral calculi are usually small, but giant stones have been also reported. Management of urethral calculi varies according to the site, size, and associated urethral disease. Retrograde manipulation into the urinary bladder followed by litholapaxy or lithotripsy is a suitable procedure for small urethral calculi. Anterior urethral calculi can be removed with instillation of 2% lignocaine jelly. Ventral meatotomy or urethroscopic method. The treatment of choice for an impacted large calculus in the bulbar urethra is perineal urethrotomy with urethroplasty.

CONCLUSION

Although rare, a giant urethral calculus should be considered in the differential diagnosis of a urethral mass and perineal urethrotomy is the treatment of choice for an impacted giant bulbar urethral calculus.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

- 1. Kaplan M, Atakan IH. Giant prostatic urethral calculus associated with urethrocutaneous fistula. Int J Urol. 2006;13(5);643-4.
- Shanmugam TV, Dhanapal V, Rajaraman T, Chandrasekar CPM, Balashanmugan KP. Giant urethral calculi. Hospital Medicine. 2000;61:582.
- 3. de Carvalho HA. Giant urethral calculus A case report. J Urol. 1977;118:334-5.
- 4. Ahmed QJ, Akatar M, Gulfan, Din G. giant urethral diverticulum with calculi. Pak J surg 2003;19:106-8.
- 5. Koge S, Arakaki Y, Matsuoka M, Ohyama C. Urethral calculi. Br J Urol 1990;65:288-92.
- Takihara H, Joyimak, Tsuchida M, Joko K, Naito K. Giant urethral stone successfully removed via urethroscopy. Bull Yankguchi Med Sch 1991;38:48-9.

DOI: 10.5455/2320-6012.ijrms20140266 Cite this article as: Das N, Chatterjee D. Giant urethral calculus associated with urethrocutaneous fistula: a case report. Int J Res Med Sci 2014;2:339-40.