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ABSTRACT

Background: Prevalence of gestational diabetes (GDM) has increased tremendously in India. Prevention of the condition is possible through health education. However, knowledge regarding diabetes is poor among Indian women. Thus, examining the basic knowledge regarding diabetes among expectant mothers is necessary to identify areas of deficit.

Methods: An observational, analytical study of cross-sectional design was undertaken to assess the knowledge regarding diabetes among 173 expectant mothers attending the ante-natal clinic of R. G. Kar Medical College, Kolkata using a pre tested schedule.

Results: Mean score of the respondents were less than 3 out of 8 which was a poor score. The overall mean diabetic score was similar for the antenatal mothers irrespective of the number of pregnancy (p=0.3154) but the score was greater than that for the non-pregnant women (p=0.0000). The expectant mothers showed better response compared to the controls when asked whether a person can have diabetes but be unaware of the condition; whether diabetes can harm a person’s body before diagnosis; long term complications of the disease(P<0.05). However, answers were similar across all groups with respect to queries like the age group in which the condition is more commonly diagnosed and whether diabetes is curable (p>0.05). The pregnant women had less reported leisure time physical exercise and first degree relative with diabetes (p<0.05).

Conclusions: Mean knowledge score of the expectant mothers was more than the women controls though the overall score was poor. A structured awareness program is urgently needed which would first address diabetes in general and then the specifics of GDM.
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INTRODUCTION

India has largest population of 50.8 million diabetic sufferers.¹ According to WHO, 80% of diabetes related deaths occur in low and middle income group and projects that deaths will double from 2016 to 2030.² Diabetes in pregnancy (DIP) can occur both due to type 2 diabetes prior to pregnancy and due to impaired glucose tolerance in at risk women due to diabetogenicity of the condition termed as Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). Prevalence of the both these condition has increased globally.³ DIP can lead to early-onset type 2 diabetes in the mothers after delivery and can cause adolescent diabetes and obesity in the offspring as well.⁴ ⁵
Routine blood sugar examination is done between 24 and 28 weeks of pregnancy and required advice for gestational diabetes prevention for every mother is part of antenatal protocol.6

Several studies have been done in different parts of India to assess awareness regarding GDM among antenatal mothers.7,8 Different agencies stressed that health promotion regarding the risk factors and prevention of diabetes in pregnancy is urgently needed.9

But before proceeding for the same, baseline knowledge about the diabetes itself among the expectant mothers needs to be ascertained as awareness regarding the condition is low among Indian women in general.10

The present study was undertaken to assess the knowledge of diabetes among pregnant women attending a tertiary care hospital of Kolkata using a pretested schedule. The research hypothesis being whether expectant mothers attending antenatal clinic of Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics have more knowledge regarding diabetes when compared to women attending the outpatient clinic of the same department for non-obstetrics reasons.

METHODS
An observational analytical study of cross sectional design was conducted. Out of the total of 350 women participants, one hundred and eighty (n=180) expectant mothers attending antenatal clinic, in the Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, R. G. Kar Medical College, Kolkata were included in the study using the simple random sampling method. Seven subjects later left the study. Out of 173 mothers, eighty-three (n=83) were primigravidae and 90 were multigravidae.

Another group of 170 women attending the outpatient clinic of the same department for non-obstetrics reasons were selected as controls using similar methodology. All the women satisfied the given inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria
- Antenatal mothers irrespective of gestational age, diabetes status were included.
- Women attending outpatient clinic for gynaecological reasons in the reproductive age (15-45years) were taken as controls.

Exclusion criteria
Women with severe or acute illness; in active labor; deaf and dumb subjects; under influence of alcohol or drugs; unwilling to participate. Study was conducted during period of August 2015 to August 2018 at R. G. Kar Medical College in the Department of Physiology.

The prevalence (P) of diabetes among pregnant women in Bengal was found to be 11.69 %.11 So, the sample size N was

\[N \geq 4PQL^2\]

P=11.69; Q=100-11.68=88.31; absolute error L=5% of P

So, \(N \geq 4 \times 11.69 \times 88.31 \times 5 \times 5 \geq 165\)

Thus, on the basis of the sample size calculation, one hundred and seventy three (n=173) antenatal mothers were included in the study. One hundred and seventy (n=170) non-pregnant women attending outpatient clinic of the same department.

Study technique
All the study participants were residents of different areas of South Bengal and selected by simple random sampling from the outpatient Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics of R. G. Kar Medical College, Kolkata. Informed consent was taken from all the study subjects after fully explaining the objectives of the study.

Detailed history, information regarding age, monthly family income, education years, place of residence, self reported physical exercise, family history of diabetes, occupation etc were taken. A pre-tested and pre-designed schedule was administered to every consenting individual.12

The data were collected by in- person interview. All the respondents were assured of confidentiality and anonymity. The time taken to complete the schedule ranged from 15-20minutes. The schedule consisted of five questions with responses in the format of yes, no and do not know.

The total maximum score for the items was eight. The correct answer was allotted score of 1 and wrong answer or do not know answer was given score of zero. Score of seven or more out of eight was considered a good score. Number of correct responses less than 7 was considered not good score.13

Any recent laboratory reports like that of blood glucose, serum TSH, hemoglobin% were noted for ascertaining the diabetic status of the subjects.

Statistical analysis
All the statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (version 17) statistical software package. Results for the quantitative variables were analysed using Z test and ANOVA. For qualitative variables, Chi- square test was used to determine the significance of difference between proportions. Level of significance was taken as P<0.05.
RESULTS

A total of 343 women participated in the present study. Of which 173 were pregnant mothers who attended the antenatal clinic of Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, R. G. Kar Medical College, Kolkata, participated in the study. Out of 173, eighty-three (n=83) were primigravidae and 90 were multi gravidae. One hundred and seventy women (n=170) who went to the outpatient clinic of the same department for gynaecological reasons, were taken as controls.

Table 1: Knowledge regarding diabetes among antenatal women with respect to controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Primigravida n=83, %Correct responses</th>
<th>Multigravida n=90, %Correct responses</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Control n=170, %Correct responses</th>
<th>p-value Antenatal vs. Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At least one correct answer</td>
<td>41(48.91%)</td>
<td>49(54.66%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80(47.05%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A person can have diabetes but be unaware of it</td>
<td>53(63.86%)*</td>
<td>64(71.11%)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>80(47.05%)</td>
<td>0.0004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes can harm a person’s body before diagnosis</td>
<td>56(67.47%)</td>
<td>76(84.44%)</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>130(76.47%)</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term complications of diabetes</td>
<td>11(13.25%)</td>
<td>14(15.56%)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>10(5.88%)</td>
<td>0.028*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The age group in which diabetes is most common</td>
<td>34(40.96%)</td>
<td>38(42.22%)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>60(35.29%)</td>
<td>0.4771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes is curable</td>
<td>49(59.04%)</td>
<td>54(60%)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>20(70.58%)</td>
<td>0.0993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Distribution of age and education years among the study participants, n=343.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Primigravida n=83, Gr 1</th>
<th>Multigravida n=90, Gr 2</th>
<th>p-value Gr1 vs. Gr 2</th>
<th>Control n=170, Gr 3</th>
<th>p-value Gr 1 vs 3; Gr 2 vs3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD)in years</td>
<td>20.14(3.76)</td>
<td>24.87(4.32)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>24.53(14.29)</td>
<td>0.0064*; 0.8258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Education years (SD)</td>
<td>7.33(3.94)</td>
<td>7.12(3.97)</td>
<td>0.7271</td>
<td>7.29(4.369)</td>
<td>0.9437; 0.7581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Distribution of occupation, social class, reported leisure time physical exercise and First degree relative with diabetes among the respondents, n=343.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Primigravida n=83,</th>
<th>Multigravida n=90,</th>
<th>Control n=170,</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupation: Homemaker</td>
<td>68(81.92%)</td>
<td>65(72.22%)</td>
<td>120(70.58%)</td>
<td>0.4267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Class+Poor</td>
<td>77(92.78%)</td>
<td>84(93.33%)</td>
<td>145(80.55%)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported leisure time physical exercise</td>
<td>22(26.5%)</td>
<td>18(20%)</td>
<td>70(41.17%)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative with diabetes</td>
<td>16(19.27%)</td>
<td>22(24.44%)</td>
<td>60(35.29%)</td>
<td>0.018*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Distribution of occupation, social class, reported leisure time physical exercise and first degree relative with diabetes among the antenatal mothers, n=173.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Primigravida, n=83</th>
<th>Multigravida, n=90</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupation: Homemaker</td>
<td>68(81.92%)</td>
<td>65(72.22%)</td>
<td>0.1304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Class: Poor</td>
<td>77(92.78%)</td>
<td>84(93.33%)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported Leisure time physical exercise</td>
<td>22(26.5%)</td>
<td>18(20%)</td>
<td>0.3106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative with diabetes</td>
<td>16(19.27%)</td>
<td>22(24.44%)</td>
<td>0.4121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows the distribution of diabetes knowledge score of all the items of the primigravidae vs. multigravidae and antenatal mothers vs. the controls using Chi square test. The overall mean diabetic score was similar for multigravidae with respect to primigravidae thus statistically non significant (p=0.3154) while the score for antenatal mothers was more than that for the controls (p=0.0000*).

For item 1, whether a person can have diabetes but be unaware of the condition, the response was similar for the two groups of pregnant mothers but with respect to controls, the correct response rate was significantly more.
for the antenatal group compared to the controls (p=0.0004).

For item 2, whether diabetes can harm a person’s body before diagnosis, the number of correct answers were greater for multigravidae women compared to both primigravidae mothers (p=0.01) and the controls (p=0.03).

For item 3, whether eye, kidney, heart and foot are affected as a result of long-term complications of the disease; score was similar for the antenatal mothers (p=0.67) but more compared to the controls (p=0.028).

For item 4, the age group in which the condition is more commonly diagnosed >40 years or less than 40 years; response rate was similar for all the groups (p>0.05).

For item 5, whether diabetes is curable; the proportion of correct answers was similar for all the groups (p>0.05).

Table 2 shows the mean age and education years of the antenatal mothers with respect to the controls. Mean age of the mothers were significantly different from the controls. (p<0.05*). But the mean education years were similar across all age groups.

Table 3 shows the distribution of different parameters like occupation, social class, reported leisure time physical exercise and First degree relative with diabetes among the study participants. Occupation and social class were similar among them, but the control subjects had more reported leisure time physical exercise and first degree relative with diabetes (p<0.05). P values were calculated using ANOVA.

Table 4 shows the distribution of different parameters like occupation, social class, reported leisure time physical exercise and First degree relative with diabetes among the pregnant women. All the parameters were similar among the antenatal mothers (p>0.05).

Diabetic knowledge score among the expectant mothers according to the education of the pregnant women: Antenatal mothers with higher literacy level (n=153 vs. 20) showed more knowledge score (p=0.02).

Working mothers (n=40 vs. 133) had more knowledge score compared to homemaker antenatal subjects (p=0.0104). Antenatal mothers whose 1st degree relative is diabetic (n=38 vs. 135) showed greater knowledge score compared to pregnant women with no diabetic relative (p=0.0009).

Family size (large family size, n=62 vs. 111) did not influence the knowledge score (p=0.1873). Out of 173 subjects, only 19 were diagnosed with gestational diabetes mellitus (10.98%). The knowledge score among diabetic (n=19 vs 154) compared to normoglycemic mothers were similar (p=0.8733).

Also, age of the mothers (p=0.6642) or their gestational age (p=0.1493) did not influence the knowledge score.

**DISCUSSION**

Out of the total of 343 participants, one hundred and seventy-three (n=173) were expectant mothers and 170 women were included as controls. Of the pregnant women, 83 were primigravidae and 90 were multigravidae mothers.

Mean score of the all the groups were less than 3 out of 8 which was a poor score. The overall mean diabetic score was similar for multigravidae and primigravidae (p=0.3154) but greater than that for the controls (p=0.0000). The expectant mothers showed better response compared to controls when asked whether a person can have diabetes but be unaware of the condition; whether diabetes can harm a person’s body before diagnosis; long term complications of the disease (P<0.05). However, responses were similar across all groups with respect to queries like the age group in which the condition is more commonly diagnosed and whether diabetes is curable (p>0.05).

The control subjects had more reported leisure time physical exercise and first degree relative with diabetes compared to the pregnant women (p<0.05). All the study participants were similar with respect to education years, occupation, social class, reported leisure time physical exercise and first degree relative with diabetes except age. The multigravidae and control group were older than the first time mothers.

Only three out of 173 (1.73%) mothers were unaware of the condition called diabetes or ‘sugar’ disease. Mean score of all the groups were less than 3 out of 8 which was a poor score. The findings were similar to that of other workers. A qualitative study by Bandopadhyay et al was conducted on seventeen South Asian women residing in Australia with GDM regarding knowledge about different types of diabetes before and after diagnosis and delivery. It was found that knowledge regarding any type of diabetes was low among the study participants.15

A Nepal based study done in rural areas on antenatal mothers and another on women of Kerala suffering from gestational diabetes also reported similar findings.16, 17 The overall mean diabetic score was similar for multigravidae and primigravidae (p=0.3154) but greater than that for the controls (p=0.0000).

A hospital based cross-sectional study on awareness regarding GDM among 141 women was performed. The highest information source was obtained from doctors (37%, n=44) followed by family members (22%, n=28).18 In a similar study on 450 women in Sharjah, 19.4% of the participants named the physicians and health workers as preferred source of information regarding the condition.19
Thus, diabetes education through effective promotion and campaigns by the health care workers can play an important role in creating increased awareness among antenatal women.\(^6\) While mass media, neighbours may have played an important role with respect to awareness about diabetes but the contribution of the health care providers is considerable.

Mothers with multiple pregnancies has mean knowledge score of 2.94(1.44) which was greater than those for first time mothers 2.73(1.44). Repeated pregnancies probably helped improve the knowledge score though results were statistically non significant. Multiple pregnancies probably increase the number of interaction between the mothers and the health professionals.

A study conducted in South India among 120 expectant mothers in a primary health centre showed that parity was not found to be significantly associated with the level of knowledge about GDM.\(^8\) In contrast, a similar study by Azu and Essel among 250 pregnant women from Ghana found that the higher the parity of the expectant mothers, the lower was their level of knowledge on GDM probably due to illiteracy and poverty.\(^21\)

In the present study, the mean education years of the mothers with multiple pregnancy were 7.12(3.97) years while above 93.33% of the expectant mothers were poor. The multigravidae mothers were similar to the first time mothers with respect to these two parameters.

The expectant mothers showed better response compared to controls when asked whether a person can have diabetes but be unaware of the condition; whether diabetes can harm a person’s body before diagnosis; long term complications of the disease (P<0.05). However responses were similar across all groups with respect to queries like the age group in which the condition is more common and whether diabetes is curable (p>0.05).

A Chennai based study assessed the knowledge regarding gestational diabetes among pregnant mothers and found that 48.8% of rural women were ignorant of risk factor for gestational diabetes while 75.6% were unaware of the long term complications of the disease.\(^17\)

All the study participants were similar with respect to education years, occupation, social class, reported leisure time physical exercise and first degree relative with diabetes except age. Both the multigravidae and control group were older than the first time mothers.

The control subjects had more reported leisure time physical exercise and first degree relative with diabetes compared to the pregnant women (p<0.05). A Kerala based study was conducted among 1303 women to assess self reported physical activity and was found to be more in women who belonged to the age group of 35-54 years (OR 1.91:1.32-2.63).\(^22\)

Out of 173 subjects, only 19 were diagnosed with gestational diabetes mellitus (10.98%). The mean knowledge score (SD) among diabetic mothers was 2.89 (1.55) compared to normoglycemic mothers 2.83 (1.35) but statistically non significant (p=0.8733).

A study conducted among 89 Bangladeshi women found no significant difference in knowledge among GDM patients compared to normal pregnant women (p=0.83). Overall Knowledge about GDM is poor among pregnant women.\(^23\) The Pondicherry based study was carried on 200 antenatal mothers with GDM and found that the knowledge regarding diabetes to be average.\(^24\) A Kerala based study also reported similar findings.\(^25\)

However older age, large family size (more than 4 family members) and more gestational age (women with more than 28 weeks of gestation i.e. in 3rd trimester pregnancy vs. women in 2nd trimester) gave similar response rate when compared to their respective controls (p<0.05). In contrast, a study conducted on total of 500 pregnant women with GDM residing in Karnataka found statistically significant association between knowledge about GDM with maternal age, (P<0.050).\(^8\)

GDM occurs when a woman’s pancreatic function is not sufficient to overcome the diabetogenic environment of pregnancy and causes high blood glucose levels due to the body’s extra demand for insulin.\(^26\)

A year 2015 based study conducted by a NGO for diabetes prevention in Bengal on 6000 Bengali women found alarming increase in gestational diabetes in the state which was the reason behind the huge number of adolescent diabetes cases.\(^11\)

Proper educational interventions promote better pregnancy outcomes. So, health promotion programs to increase knowledge about this condition among antenatal mothers is the need of the hour.\(^27,28\)

An US based study on 97 pregnant women of Indian origin found non-adherence with respect to regularly attending prenatal or diabetes education classes. Given incentives for attendance or having the classes become social events with community support were recommended.\(^29\) The present study was a preliminary study and it does indicate aspects of the disease where antenatal mothers lack adequate knowledge. However, a future study on the at risk population such pregnant women with high Body Mass Index, previous history of GDM, currently suffering from the same is required for better interpretation of the study results.

A larger representation of expectant mothers with GDM was needed for better interpretation of the study objectives. In the present study only 19 out of 173 were found to be suffering from the condition.
CONCLUSION

The overall mean diabetic score was similar for antenatal mothers (p=0.3154) but greater than that for the controls (p=0.0000). The advice given during antenatal visit and the haematological intervention may contribute to more knowledge among expectant mothers. However, the mean score of the all the groups were less than 3 out of 8 which was a poor score. A structured awareness program for the expectant mothers is urgently needed which address the understanding would regard the diabetes in general and then switching to specifics of GDM.
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