pISSN 2320-6071 | eISSN 2320-6012

$DOI:\ http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2320\text{-}6012.ijrms20203678$

Original Research Article

A study of allergic responses of formaldehyde in first year MBBS students

Shreyans D. Singhvi, Preksha T. Singh*

Department of Anatomy, Smt N. H. L Municipal Medical College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

Received: 13 July 2020
Accepted: 08 August 2020

Accepted: 08 August 2020

*Correspondence: Dr. Preksha T. Singh,

E-mail: prekshasingh@icloud.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Formaldehyde has been used as embalming fluid for cadavers 'in various medical colleges since decades, often alone but sometimes also with methyl alcohol, thymol crystals, glycerin and water. During dissection hours (average 6 hours per week), formaldehyde being an irritant can cause severe allergic responses in students of first year. Majorly the allergic symptoms are related to eyes, respiratory tract and skin.

Methods: A case control study of 400 MBBS students was performed in Gujarat from April 2017-June 2017. The study included 200 case students who had been exposed to formaldehyde on 3 days of the week during anatomy dissection hours, also 200 final year students who were not exposed to formaldehyde regularly.

Results: In our study, we have found the following positive responses to formaldehyde- general discomfort, sneezing, redness of eyes, itching of nostrils, irritation and itching of eyes, tears, blurring of vision, discharge from nostrils, and itching of skin while the following factors were found negative-vomiting, giddiness, drowsiness, nausea and difficulty in breathing.

Conclusions: As, formaldehyde is commonly used and students are frequently exposed to it, it can be a cause of major concern and an alternative less toxic solution for embalming should be researched for.

Keywords: Allergy, Formaldehyde, Students, Toxicity

INTRODUCTION

Formaldehyde has been used as embalming fluid for cadavers 'in various medical colleges since decades, often alone but sometimes also with methyl alcohol, thymol crystals, glycerin and water. During dissection hours (average 6 hours per week), formaldehyde being an irritant can cause severe allergic responses in students of first year. Majorly the allergic symptoms are related to eyes, respiratory tract and skin. Formalin (commercial name) is CH₂ (OH)₂ and HO(CH₂0) N.H is 37%-50% aqueous solution. It is a potent irritant, a noxious and flammable gas, also used as fungicide, disinfectant, germicide and preservative. It gives out powerful irritant vapors 'that are majorly responsible for its allergic responses.

A cadaver in a medical college is embalmed via femoral arteries or internal carotid arteries for preservation of normal anatomical structures for study during dissection hours. Although formaldehyde is very often used in various fields, it alter-sides are mostly ignored. It causes various symptoms and the severity of each symptom varies person to person, relating it to their immune system.

The concentration of formaldehyde can be measured relatively easily by calibrated sampling pumps. ^{1,3} There are major differences depending on whether air concentrations are measured above the floor or in the breathing zone. Several studies have reported doses ranging from 0.3 to 0.6 ppm, 0.3 to 2.63 ppm, 0.9 to 4.5 ppm, up to 20 mg/m³, 1.5 mg/m³, and 0.31-6.77 ppm.^{2,4-7}

As in the department of anatomy, there is a continuous chronic exposure to formaldehyde, this study is extremely important to access the hazard caused by it.

METHODS

A case control study of 400 MBBS students was performed in Gujarat from April 2017 to June 2017. The study included 200 case students who had been exposed to formaldehyde on 3 days of the week during anatomy dissection hours, also 200 final year students who were not exposed to formaldehyde every day. The students were amongst a similar age group and having similar socioeconomic and developmental history.

Inclusion criteria

This was included first year students exposed to formaldehyde minimum of 3 days a week, and final year students not exposed to formaldehyde in the past 6 months. Students who provided well verbal consent to participate in the study and did not have previous allergic history, of any form, also students who were present during the study.

Exclusion criteria

This was included first year students not exposed to formaldehyde minimum of 3 days a week, and final year students exposed to formaldehyde in the past 6 months. Students who did not provide well verbal consent to participate in the study and did have previous allergic history, of any form, also students who were not present during the study.

A structural self-constructed questionnaire was used in the study. A well-informed verbal consent was taken by the participants of the study. It had open and closed ended questions. The questionnaire included Socio-demographic information in the form of age, sex, history of previous illness, a complete history of any previous history of allergies, students who had history of allergies were excluded from the study. The use of gloves and masks in the dissection hall was also studied. Multiple factors to assess the allergic responses were studied. The sampling technique used was purposive.

The data was compiled and analyzed using Google Spreadsheets. To find the association between different factors- the statistical method of chi-square test and p value was taken. A null hypothesis was formed with no association taken into consideration and chi-square values and P- value was calculated to find the possible association between the decided factors. The confidence interval taken for p-value is 95% with 0.05 level of significance. Values corresponding <0.05 are found significant, the null hypothesis stands void and the factors are found to be associated for 95% confidence level.

RESULTS

Initially, the use of gloves and mask in the dissection hall was studied (Table 1). Further, multiple factors were used to assess the allergic responses in the students were studied (Table 2). A null hypothesis was formed indicating no association between the chosen allergic response and formaldehyde, further chi square values and p values were calculated to find any association. In the following, p-values were found significant- general discomfort, sneezing, itching/irritation of the eye, tears, redness, itching of nostrils, blurring of vision, discharge from nostrils and itching of skin while in the following, p values were not found significant - vomiting, giddiness, drowsiness, nausea and difficulty in breathing (Table 2).

Table 1: The use of mask and gloves in the anatomy hall.

Use of	Always (%)	Mostly (%)	Rarely (%)
Gloves	82 (164/200)	12 (24/200)	6 (12/200)
Mask	1 (2/200)	0 (0/200)	99 (198/200)

DISCUSSION

In our study, we have found the following positive responses to formaldehyde- general discomfort, sneezing, redness of eyes, itching of nostrils, irritation and itching of eyes, tears, blurring of vision, discharge from nostrils, and itching of skin, while the following factors were found negative-vomiting, giddiness, drowsiness, nausea and difficulty in breathing. These well-documented and probable health hazards from formaldehyde are so grave that a number of precautions are recommended to reduce occupational formaldehyde exposure to the lowest feasible level. ⁸

In the dissection halls, the following methods can be followed for prevention of severe toxicity. For this, initially, it is important to find the concentration of formaldehyde in the anatomy dissection hall and laboratories. There are many methods available for the same. After determining the levels, further steps to reduce the levels can be taken. There should be effective ventilation in the dissection hall as it would reduce density of formaldehyde and have better air currents in the hall. Clark et al, gives details of how formaldehyde concentrations can be reduced by using fume cupboards for handling large volumes of formaldehyde and for mixing embalming solutions. ⁸Additionally, an alternative solution for embalming can be used in the department. However, toxicity for the alternative has to be studied as well. More education and training towards teaching the students to use gloves and masks should be provided before the start of the term. As, formaldehyde is commonly used and students are frequently exposed to it, it can be a cause of major concern and an alternative less toxic solution for embalming should be researched for.

Table 2: Association of multiple factors of allergic responses to formaldehyde.

Symptom	Case/ control	Never	Moderate	Mild	Severe	Chi square value	P-value	Association
General	Case	86/200	62/200	34/200	10/200	7.8446	0.049334	Yes
discomfort	Control	100/200	74/200	23/200	3/200	7.0440	0.04/334	103
Sneezing	Case	149/200	37/200	8/200	6/200	12.9347	0.00478	Yes
	Control	56/200	84/200	12/200	4/200			
Vomiting	Case	183/200	15/200	1/200	1/200	2.2444	0.523249	No
	Control	174/200	23/200	2/00	1/200			
Giddiness	Case	156/200	36/200	7/200	1/200	1.6205	0.654748	No
	Control	164/200	29/200	5/00	2/200			
Drowsiness	Case	132/200	49/200	10/200	9/100	4.7272	0.192901	No
	Control	147/200	43/200	7/200	3/200			
Itching/Irritation	Case	32/200	63/200	65/200	40/200	138.0417	< 0.00001	Yes
of eyes	Control	157/200	21/200	7/200	1/200	130.0417	<0.00001	103
Tears	Case	36/200	77/200	66/200	21/200	30/200 35/200	<0.00001	Yes
	Control	167/200	12/200	6/200	1/200			
Redness of eyes	Case	119/200	56/200	13/200	12/200	15.1848	0.001665	Yes
	Control	148/200	42/200	9/200	1/200			
Itching of	Case	75/200	70/200	40/200	15/200	42.81838	<0.00001	Yes
nostrils	Control	132/200	53/200	14/200	1/200			
Blurring of	Case	153/200	31/200	15/200	1/200	14.459	0.002342	Yes
vision	Control	138/200	48/200	2/200	2/200			
Discharge from	Case	118/200	57/200	18/200	7/200	23.1102	0.000038	Yes
nostrils	Control	78/200	62/200	39/200	21/200			
Nausea	Case	120/200	57/200	17/200	6/200	7.4496	0.58867	No
	Control	94/200	79/200	22/200	5/200			
Itching of Skin	Case	173/200	21/200	5/200	1/200	106.1378	0.00001	Yes
	Control	156	112/200	2/200	1/200			
Difficulty in	Case	148/200	36/200	10/200	6/200	7.3134	0.062551	No
breathing	Control	150/200	24/200	22/200	4/200			

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank all the participants of the study as well as the department of anatomy to guide us and help us during the study.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the

Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

- Bernstein, RS, Stayner LT, Elliott LJ, Kimbrough R, Falk H, and Blade L. Inhalation exposure to formaldehyde: An overview of its toxicology, epidemiology, monitoring and control. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J. 1984;45:778-85.
- 2. Triebig G, Trautner P, Lutjen-Drecoll E. Untersuchungen zur Abschatzung einer Formaldehyd-Einwirkung im

- Anatom- ischen Prapariersaal. Arbeitsmed. Sozialmed. Preventive Med. 1980;11:264-6.
- Skisak CM. Formaldehyde vapor exposures in anatomy labor ratories. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J. 1983;44:948-50.
- Thomson EJ, Shackleton S, Harrington JM. Chromosome aberrations and sister-chromatid exchange frequencies in pathology staff occupationally exposed to formaldehyde. Mutat Res. 1984;141:89-93.
- Stofft E, Nitsche I, Mayet A. Formaldehydgehalt in der Luft der Prapariersale. Zbl Bakt Hyg I Abt Orig B. 1971;155:131-41.
- 6. Yager JW, Cohn KL, Spear RC, Fisher JM, Morse L. Sister-chromatid exchanges in lymphocytes of anatomy students exposed to formaldehyde-embalming solution. Mutat Res. 1986;174:135-9.
- Perkins JL, Kimbrough JD. Formaldehyde exposure in a gross anatomy laboratory. J Occup Med. 1985;272:313-315.
- 8. Clark RP. Formaldehyde in pathology departments. J Clin Pathol. 1983;36:839-46.

Cite this article as: Singhvi SD, Singh PT. A study of allergic responses of formaldehyde in first year MBBS students. Int J Res Med Sci 2020;8:3281-3.