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Cemented monoblock hemiarthroplasty: a dependable option in femoral neck fracture in elderly
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ABSTRACT

Background: Femoral neck fracture in elderly continues to pose a treatment dilemma. Associated co-morbidities and high mortality (1-year mortality of 25–30% and only 25% survivorship at 10 years) often skews the surgical decision. The underlying treatment goal is minimum revision and maximum functional outcome. Lack of clear guidelines is reflected by the continued debates regarding their management namely osteosynthesis vs arthroplasty; hemiarthroplasty vs total hip arthroplasty, unipolar vs bipolar and cemented vs uncemented. A review of joint registries, uniformly suggest that cemented fixation in elderly patient results in early mobilization, less residual pain and the lowest risk of revision. We analyzed clinical outcome of cemented monoblock hemi-arthroplasty (modified design) in femoral neck fracture in elderly.

Methods: Total 94 cemented hemiarthroplasty, performed since January 2009, with a minimum follow up of 3 years are included in the study. Mean modified Haris Hip score at 2 years, 3 years and in the last follow up was 88 (72-91), 84 (70-89) and 81 (65-86) respectively. Acetabular erosion was noted in three patients (3.19%) (one was symptomatic) and aseptic loosening in another two patients (2.12%). Major complications such as deep wound infection, dislocation or peri-prosthetic fracture were not noted in any patient.

Results: Result of the present study is consistent to marginally superior when compared to cemented Thompson monoblock and the cemented bipolar prostheses. We attribute this to routine use of cement in the elderly osteoporotic bone along with design modification of the monoblock stem. Long term result of THA is marginally (not statistically significant) better compared to hemiarthroplasty. However, it is associated with prolonged surgery, more blood loss and higher dislocation rate. The rates of dislocation following THA, bipolar and unipolar arthroplasty were 11%, 3%, and 2% respectively.

Conclusions: Cemented monoblock hemiarthroplasty is effective and viable option in displaced femoral neck fracture in elderly in terms of excellent functional outcome, low reoperation without adversely affecting morbidity and mortality. Being cost effective procedure this may be considered as first line surgical option especially in socio-economically disadvantaged section of the society.
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INTRODUCTION

Fracture neck of femur in elderly continues to pose a dilemma to Orthopaedic surgeon. Patient’s mobility, morbidity, life expectancy, financial constraints (especially in developing nations) and expectations are major considerations in the decision making. The underlying goal of any modality of treatment is to
minimize revisions and maximize the functional outcome. A survey conducted in United Kingdom reported that there is lack of consensus on choosing the treatment option for this fracture.1 The surgical options available are internal fixation, hemi arthroplasty or total hip arthroplasty (THR). A study reported that unipolar implants may be considered sufficient for oldest patients with more comorbidities.2 A Cochrane systematic review has reported no difference in results of unipolar versus bipolar prosthesis.3 A study comparing internal fixation with arthroplasty has reported 30% revision rates with internal fixation but lower survival rates with arthroplasty.4 A study on ten year results of internal fixation and arthroplasty has reported a 45.6% failure rate with internal fixation compared with 8.8% with replacement.5 They reported similar rates (75%) of mortality ten years. Significantly lower rates of impaired walking and severe pain have been reported in arthroplasty compared to internal fixation.6 In comparison with internal fixation, arthroplasty for the treatment of a displaced femoral neck fracture has been reported to significantly reduce the chance of revision surgery, at the cost of greater infection rates, blood loss, and operative time and possibly an increase in early mortality rates.7,8 Studies that compared internal fixation with arthroplasty in elderly patients of fracture neck femur have reported a higher rates of painful hip and revision with internal fixation but similar mortality rates.9,10 However a study has reported higher mortality rates at 1 month in the arthroplasty although the difference was not significant.11 Reasons for revision include either osteonecrosis (16-19%) or non-union (23-33%). It is also reported that clinical outcome of secondary arthroplasty11–15 after failed attempt of osteosynthesis is not satisfactory in majority of patients. This is because by the time the decision to do an arthroplasty is taken; the patients are already confined to the wheelchair with limited potential for rigorous demands of rehabilitation. Furthermore, THR performed after failed fixation results in more residual pain and worse function when compared with primary THR.12–15 Recent literature largely favours using arthroplasty over fixation in elderly patients of fracture neck of femur.9–11 Most randomized control trials (RCT) and metanalysis support hemiarthroplasty over total hip arthroplasty (THA) in elderly patients of femoral neck fracture.16–18

Regarding hemiarthroplasty, there are proponents of bipolar prosthesis which has the theoretical advantage of reducing acetabular erosion. Clinical results are however ambiguous and it is established that at one-year movement of the inner head ceases due to fibrosis.22,23 Furthermore, better result with bipolar could be due to its use in relatively younger patients and this four times costlier (compared to Thompson prosthesis) implant is not ethically warranted in patients presenting to our setup as most of these patients hail from lower socio-economic strata.

An element of uncertainty and lack of clear guidelines is reflected by the continued debate regarding use of Thompson and Austin Moore prosthesis.21–24 These two popular (in UK, Australia) prosthesis have witnessed design modifications such as uncemented Austin-Moore prosthesis with a hydroxyapatite-coated Furlong prosthesis, cemented Muller and Exeter monoblock design but their superiority is yet not established.24

Cemented vs uncemented prosthesis further intrigues the treating surgeons.25–27 Cemented stem has the merit of immediate secure fixation, early mobility, less residual pain, less revision and better survivorship benefit. A Cochrane study noted lower risk of intraoperative femur fracture when using cement.28 Whereas advocates of uncemented stem draws attention to the risk of cardiovascular compromise and prolonged surgery associated with cement use.

There is a paucity of studies conducted on Indian patients that have described long term functional outcome following the use of cemented monoblock hemiarthroplasty to treat elderly patients with fracture neck of femur. The objective of this retrospective study was to describe the outcome of cemented monoblock hemiarthroplasty (modified design) in femoral neck fracture in elderly population. Functional outcome at a minimum follow up of three years was the primary end point while revision rate, morbidity and complication were secondary outcome.

**METHODS**

Patients aged more than 60 years with femoral neck fracture and treated by monoblock (unipolar) cemented hemiarthroplasty were included in the study (Figure 1A and 1B).

**Figure 1:** Monoblock cemented design prosthesis along with its schematic representation of measurements.
Patients with more than three years follow up were included in the study. Patients with poor mental condition, pathological fracture, rheumatoid arthritis and those of ASA grade V are not managed using monoblock cemented hemiarthroplasty in our centre. All the operations were performed by the senior author (SK) using a modified Harding’s anterolateral approach with the patient in the lateral decubitus position. After preparation of the femoral canal (reaming, cleaning and drying) a distal restrictor (Harding’s) was first inserted at appropriate depth followed by application of low viscosity cement. Proximal seal was used to pressurize the cement in the canal. Patients were mobilized from the next day with crutches or walking frame. After six weeks they were permitted to mobilize without further restriction.

![Figure 2: Radiographs of the pelvis AP view showing preoperative and postoperative neglected fracture neck of femur in a female patient aged 65 years.](image)

The patients were reviewed at 6 weeks, 3 month and then every 6 month. Peri-operative data, including intra-operative blood loss, need for blood transfusion and duration of surgery, were recorded. At every follow up modified Harris Hip Score and visual analogue scores was documented. Any complication such as deep wound infection, dislocation, peri-prosthetic fracture, radiological signs of loosening of the femoral component and radiological signs of acetabular erosion were also recorded (Figure 2). SPSS 13.0 for Windows statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois) was used.

**RESULTS**

There were 94 cemented hemiarthroplasty, performed since January 2009, with a minimum follow up of 4 years are included in the study. 56 were female and 38 were male (female to male ratio 1.47) with mean age of 66.5 (60-74) year. Our mean follow up was 4.6 (4-7.6) years. Mean duration of surgery was 58minute range being 50-80 minute. Four patients developed wound hematoma (4.16%) and another four (4.16%) had superficial infection which was treated using extended oral antibiotics for 3 weeks. The choice of antibiotic was based on the results of culture and sensitivity. None of the patients had deep infection. Major complications such as deep wound infection, dislocation or peri-prosthetic fracture were not reported in any patient. Three patients (3.12%) had groin and or thigh pain. Two of them underwent revision at 3 and 5 years follow up while the third patient opted to continue with oral analgesics. Critical analysis of radiograph showed acetabular erosion in three patients (3.19%) of which one had groin pain while others were asymptomatic. Another two (2.12%) patients had groin pain due to aseptic loosening of stem.

At a mean follow up of 4.6 years the mean modified Haris Hip score was 84 (70-89). Mean modified Haris Hip score at 2 year, 3 year and in the last follow up was 88 (72-91), 84 (70-89) and 81 (65-86) respectively. Visual analogue score for pain averaged 2.1 (1.6 to 3.4). The mean duration of hospital stay was 14.2 days (12-21 days).

**DISCUSSION**

Average hospital stay in our study was 14.2 (12-21) days which is longer than the standard protocol. A majority of our patients hail from far flung rural areas without any facilities for rehabilitation and therefore patients are discharged after initiating rehabilitation. We noted that HHS reaches a maximum score at 18 months, maintains a plateau and then start declining after three years despite no evidence of stem subsidence or acetabular erosion. We attribute this to the natural course of ageing process. Bauer et al, similarly observed that the functional score reaches its peak at one year and then it either maintains a plateau or declines.20

A study by Friensendorff V et al, states that for an elderly patient with limited life expectancy, a quick procedure which can achieve pain free mobility with minimum risk of revision in their remaining life should be the surgical goal. Our results with cemented hemiarthroplasty satisfactorily achieved the said goal. Result of the present study is consistent to marginally superior when compared to cemented Thompson monoblock and the cemented bipolar prostheses in terms of functional outcome (ambulation, activities of daily living, Harris Hip Score, pain, and satisfaction).29,30 We attribute our superior result to higher neck cut possible with the new design which improves offset and neck-shaft angle and achieves better cement pressurization due to modified stem design. The new monoblock stem has added advantage of easy conversion to THR as the conventional Thompson’s bow and surface finish is modified. This observation is consistent with the reported decline of Thompson’s monoblock and bipolar arthroplasty in Australia and United States.34 In order to make future revision of Thompson prosthesis easier Faraj et al35 suggested that femoral neck osteotomy could be performed well above the calcar femoris without detrimental complications.

Compared to hemiarthroplasty, THA has marginally better functional outcome at 5 years and longer follow up but this difference has not been found to be statistically significant.16-21,34 However, total hip arthroplasty is a
more demanding procedure and causes more blood loss. Furthermore, cost difference assumes importance in developing countries (constrained health budget) with ever increasing incidence of these fractures. Finally, the rate of dislocation following THA is significantly higher (11%) when compared with hemiarthroplasty (3% with bipolar and 2% with unipolar arthroplasty).31,34 None of our case required revision either due to dislocation or peri-prosthetic fracture.

In the hemiarthroplasty there are two viable option such as bipolar and unipolar arthroplasty. Proponents of bipolar advocates reduction of acetabular erosion and thereby better functional outcome. Proponents of unipolar state that movement of the inner head ceases due to fibrosis at about one year and thereafter it functions like unipolar.25,26,30,33 The better result obtained with bipolar could be due to its use in relatively younger age group. We believe that bipolar arthroplasty which is four times costlier is not warranted in the elderly patients.

Cement was used in all our cases as it achieved immediate stability and integrates well with osteoporotic bone.25-28 Our routine use of cement in the elderly patients get support of Porte L et al, who also emphasized that osteoporosis interferes with bone ingrowth and congruent fit; both of these preclude establishment of rigid initial stability.34 Furthermore, a review of all joint registries uniformly suggests that cemented fixation in elderly patient results in the lowest risk of revision.39,40-45 Gromov et al, noted failure before 5 years was more likely in cementless femoral components than cemented femoral components (91% versus 44%) and stated that increased use of cementless fixation in primary THA lead to inferior survivorship.40 Despite this there is a clear trend to avoid potential risk of cardiac arrhythmias and cardio-respiratory collapse prior information to anesthetist and optimizing cement pressurization are warranted.38,40 Retrospective design of the study and small number of patients are certain limitations of the study however based on the follow up of 6 years fair conclusion can be drawn from the study” to "Retrospective design of the study and small number of patients are limitations of our study. However, a long duration of follow up adds to the strength of the study.

CONCLUSION

Cemented monoblock hemiarthroplasty is effective and viable option in displaced femoral neck fracture in elderly in terms of excellent functional outcome, low reoperation without adversely affecting morbidity and mortality. Being cost effective this may be considered as first line surgical option especially in socio-economically disadvantaged section of the society.
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